Disclaimer: This report, as required per 28 CFR §115.403, details the findings of an audit that was conducted by an outside contractor to determine the Federal Bureau of Prisons' (BOP) compliance with the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA). As the work product of independent auditors subcontracted by <u>PREA Auditors of America (PAOA)</u>, the BOP is <u>not</u> responsible for grammatical or typographical errors. Additionally, any questions or comments regarding the discrepancies or inaccuracies found within this report should be directed to PAOA at (713) 818-9098, or to the subcontracted independent auditor (name and email address can be found on page one of the report), for explanation and resolution.

Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Audit Report **Adult Prisons & Jails** Interim **⊠** Final \square N/A **Date of Interim Audit Report: Date of Final Audit Report:** May 19, 2022 **Auditor Information** Robert Palmquist Email: robert@preaauditing.com Name: Company Name: PREA Auditors of America Mailing Address: P.O. Box 1071 City, State, Zip: Cypress, Texas 77410 Telephone: 509-464-9736 **Date of Facility Visit:** April 26-28, 2022 **Agency Information** Federal Bureau of Prisons Name of Agency: Governing Authority or Parent Agency (If Applicable): United States Department of Justice 320 First St., NW Washington DC 20534 Physical Address: City, State, Zip: 320 First St., NW Wahington DC 20534 **Mailing Address:** City, State, Zip: The Agency Is: ☐ Private for Profit Private not for Profit Military ☐ State County Agency Website with PREA Information: https://www.bop.gov/inmates/custody and care/sexual abuse prevention.jsp **Agency Chief Executive Officer** Name: M. D. Carvajal BOP-RSD-PREACoordinator@bop.gov Telephone: 202-307-2951 Email: **Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator** Meagan Malespini, Acting National PREA Coordinator Name: BOP-RSD-PREACoordinator@bop.gov (202) 307-2951 Email: Telephone: Number of Compliance Managers who report to the PREA PREA Coordinator Reports to: Coordinator: Alix M. McLearen, Acting Assistant Director, Reentry Services Division

Facility Information				
Name of Facility: Federal (Correctional Institution (FC	I) Oxford		
Physical Address: COUNTY ROAD G & ELK AVENUE		City, State, Zip:	OXFORD	WISCONSIN 53952
Mailing Address (if different from P.O. BOX 500	om above):	City, State, Zip:	OXFORD	WISCONSIN 53952
The Facility Is:	☐ Military	☐ Private for	Profit	☐ Private not for Profit
☐ Municipal	☐ County	☐ State		⊠ Federal
Facility Type:	□ Prison			ail
Facility Website with PREA Inf	ormation: https://www.bop	.gov/locations	/institutions/	oxf/
Has the facility been accredite	d within the past 3 years?	∕es □ No		
If the facility has been accredited within the past 3 years, select the accrediting organization(s) – select all that apply (N/A if the facility has not been accredited within the past 3 years): ACA NCCHC CALEA Other (please name or describe:				
If the facility has completed any internal or external audits other than those that resulted in accreditation, please describe:				
Warden/Jail Administrator/Sheriff/Director				
Name: Randy Keyes				
Email: OXF-PREACom	plianceMgr@bop.gov	Telephone: 6	608-584-551	1
	Facility PREA Cor	mpliance Mana	ager	
Name: Eddie Lee, Asso	ciate Warden			
Email: OXF-PREACom	plianceMgr@bop.gov	Telephone:	608-584-551	1
Facility Health Service Administrator ☐ N/A				
Name: Richetta Worlds				
Email: OXF-PREACom	plianceMgr@bop.gov	Telephone: 6	608-584-551	1
Facility Characteristics				
Designated Facility Capacity: FMC 588, SCP 208, Total 796				
Current Population of Facility: 1057				

Average daily population for the past 12 months:		977		
Has the facility been over capacity at any point in the past 12 months?		⊠ Yes □ No		
Which population(s) does the facility hold?		☐ Females ☒ Mal	es Both Females and Males	
Age range of population:		19-70		
Average length of stay or time under supervision:		669 DAYS		
Facility security levels/inmate custody levels:		Medium/Minimum/;	In/Out/Community	
Number of inmates admitted to facility during the past	12 mont	hs:	hs: 1377	
Number of inmates admitted to facility during the past in the facility was for 72 hours or more:	12 mont	hs whose length of stay	757	
Number of inmates admitted to facility during the past in the facility was for 30 days or more:	12 mont	hs whose length of stay	725	
Does the facility hold youthful inmates?		☐ Yes ☒ No		
Number of youthful inmates held in the facility during t facility never holds youthful inmates)	the past	12 months: (N/A if the	⊠ N/A	
Does the audited facility hold inmates for one or more other age correctional agency, U.S. Marshals Service, Bureau of Prisons, U.S. Customs Enforcement)?			⊠ Yes □ No	
□ Federal Bureau of Prisons □ U.S. Marshals Service □ U.S. Immigration and Custor □ Bureau of Indian Affairs □ U.S. Military branch □ State or Territorial correction □ County correctional or detent □ Judicial district correctional or city jail) □ Private corrections or detent □ Other - please name or descriptions.		6. Marshals Service 6. Immigration and Customs reau of Indian Affairs 6. Military branch te or Territorial correctional unty correctional or detentio dicial district correctional or y or municipal correctional or vate corrections or detention are - please name or describ	agency In agency Idetention facility In detention facility (e.g. police lockup or	
Number of staff currently employed by the facility who may have contact with inmates:		253		
Number of staff hired by the facility during the past 12 months who may have contact with inmates:		who may have contact	12	
Number of contracts in the past 12 months for services with contractors who may have contact with inmates:		12		
Number of individual contractors who have contact with inmates, curre to enter the facility:		es, currently authorized	10	
Number of volunteers who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility:		5		

Physical Plant				
Number of buildings:				
Auditors should count all buildings that are part of the facility, whether inmates are formally allowed to enter them or not. In situations where temporary structures have been erected (e.g., tents) the auditor should use their discretion to determine whether to include the structure in the overall count of buildings. As a general rule, if a temporary structure is regularly or routinely used to hold or house inmates, or if the temporary structure is used to house or support operational functions for more than a short period of time (e.g., an emergency situation), it should be included in the overall count of buildings.				
Number of inmate housing units:				
Enter 0 if the facility does not have discrete housing units. DOJ PREA Working Group FAQ on the definition of a housing unit: How is a "housing unit" defined for the purposes of the PREA Standards? The question has been raised in particular as it relates to facilities that have adjacent or interconnected units. The most common concept of a housing unit is architectural. The generally agreed-upon definition is a space that is enclosed by physical barriers accessed through one or more doors of various types, including commercial-grade swing doors, steel sliding doors, interlocking sally port doors, etc. In addition to the primary entrance and exit, additional doors are often included to meet life safety codes. The unit contains sleeping space, sanitary facilities (including toilets, lavatories, and showers), and a dayroom or leisure space in differing configurations. Many facilities are designed with modules or pods clustered around a control room. This multiple-pod design provides the facility with certain staff efficiencies and economies of scale. At the same time, the design affords the flexibility to separately house inmates of differing security levels, or who are grouped by some other operational or service scheme. Generally, the control room is enclosed by security glass, and in some cases, this allows inmates to see into neighboring pods. However, observation from one unit to another is usually limited by angled site lines. In some cases, the facility has prevented this entirely by installing one-way glass. Both the architectural design and functional use of these multiple pods indicate that they are managed as distinct housing units.				
Number of single cell housing units:		0		
Number of multiple occupancy cell housing units:				
Number of open bay/dorm housing units:		0		
Number of segregation cells (for example, administrative, disciplinary, protective custody, etc.):		40		
In housing units, does the facility maintain sight and sound separation between youthful inmates and adult inmates? (N/A if the facility never holds youthful inmates)		☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A
Does the facility have a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology (e.g. cameras, etc.)?		⊠ Yes	□No	
Has the facility installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology in the past 12 months?		☐ Yes	⊠ No	
Medical and Mental Health Service	ces and Forensic Med	dical Exam	ns	
Are medical services provided on-site?	⊠ Yes □ No			
Are mental health services provided on-site?	⊠ Yes □ No			

Where are sexual assault forensic medical exams provid Select all that apply.		⊠ On-site	
		P	
		Rape Crisis Center	
		Other (please name of	or describe:
Investigations			
Cri	minal Inv	vestigations	
Number of investigators employed by the agency and/or facility who are responsible for conducting CRIMINAL investigations into allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment:		0	
When the facility received allegations of sexual abuse	or sexua	I harassment (whether	☐ Facility investigators
staff-on-inmate or inmate-on-inmate), CRIMINAL INVES			☐ Agency investigators
Select all that apply.			An external investigative entity
	Loc	cal police department	
	Loc	Local sheriff's department	
Select all external entities responsible for CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS: Select all that apply (N/A if no	☐ Sta	☐ State police	
external entities are responsible for criminal investigations)	⊠ a u	.S. Department of Justice of	component
,	☐ Oth	er (please name or describ	e:
□ N/A		4	
Administrative Investigations			
Number of investigators employed by the agency and/or facility who are responsible for conducting ADMINISTRATIVE investigations into allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?			253
When the facility receives allegations of sexual abuse	or coviia	l harassmont (whother	☐ Facility investigators
staff-on-inmate or inmate-on-inmate), ADMINISTRATIV			□ Agency investigators
conducted by: Select all that apply			☐ An external investigative entity
Select all external entities responsible for	Loc	al police department	
ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATIONS: Select all that	Loc	al sheriff's department	
apply (N/A if no external entities are responsible for administrative investigations)		State police	
		A U.S. Department of Justice component	
Other (please name or describe		e:	
	⊠ N/A	1	

Summary of Audit Findings

The summary should include the number and list of standards exceeded, number of standards met, and number and list of standards not met.

Auditor Note: No standard should be found to be "Not Applicable" or "NA". A compliance determination must be made for each standard.

Standards Exceeded

Number of Standards Exceeded: List of Standards Exceeded:

Standards Met

Number of Standards Met: 45

Standards Not Met

Number of Standards Not Met: List of Standards Not Met:

Post-Audit Reporting Information

General Audit Information				
Onsite Audit Dates				
Start date of the onsite portion of the audit:	APRIL 26, 2022			
2. End date of the onsite portion of the audit:	APRIL 28, 2022			
Outr	reach			
3. Did you attempt to communicate with community-based organization(s) or victim advocates who provide services to this facility and/or who may have insight into relevant conditions in the facility?	⊠ Yes □ No			
a. If yes, identify the community-based organizations or victim advocates with whom you corresponded:	Hope House of South Central Wisconsin			
Audited Facility Information				
4. Designated Facility Capacity:	796			
5. Average daily population for the past 12 months:	977			
6. Number of inmate/resident/detainee housing units: DOJ PREA Working Group FAQ on the definition of a housing unit: How is a "housing unit" defined for the purposes of the PREA Standards? The question has been raised in particular as it relates to facilities that have adjacent or interconnected units. The most common concept of a housing unit is architectural. The generally agreed-upon definition is a space that is enclosed by physical barriers accessed through one or more doors of various types, including commercial-grade swing doors, steel sliding doors, interlocking sally port doors, etc. In addition to the primary entrance and exit, additional doors are often included to meet life safety codes. The unit contains sleeping space, sanitary facilities (including toilets, lavatories, and showers), and a dayroom or leisure space in differing configurations. Many facilities are designed with modules or pods clustered around a control room. This multiple-pod design provides the facility with certain staff efficiencies and economies of scale. At the same time, the design affords the flexibility to separately house inmates of differing security levels, or who are grouped by some other operational or service scheme. Generally, the control room is enclosed by security glass, and in some cases, this allows residents to see into neighboring pods. However, observation from one unit to another is usually limited by angled site lines. In some cases, the facility has prevented this entirely by installing one-way glass. Both the architectural design and functional use of these multiple pods indicate that they are managed as distinct housing units.	12			
7. Does the facility ever hold youthful inmates or youthful/juvenile detainees?	Yes No N/A for the facility type audited (i.e., Community Confinement Facility or Juvenile Facility)			

Audited Facility Population on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit			
Inmates/Residents/Detainees			
8. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	FCI 996; Camp 59		
9. Enter the total number of youthful inmates or youthful/juvenile detainees housed at the facility on the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0		
10. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees with a physical disability housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0		
11. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	10		
12. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (visually impaired) housed at the facility on the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	1		
13. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing housed at the facility on the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	2		
14. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	5		
15. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	4		
16. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who identify as transgender, or intersex housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	1		
17. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in this facility who are housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	1		
18. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual harassment in this facility who are housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0		
19. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	8		
20. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are or were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0		
21. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are or were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for having reported sexual abuse in this facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0		
22. Enter the total number of inmates/residents detained solely for civil immigration purposes housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0		

23.	Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of inmates/residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit (e.g., groups not tracked, issues with identifying certain populations). Note: as this text will be included in the audit report, please do not include any personally identifiable information or other information that could compromise the confidentiality of any persons in the facility.	The facility does not maintain statistical data concerning sexual orientation or sexual victimization before incarceration. This information is determined by reviewing individual inmate files.	
		, and Contractors ordless of their level of contact with inmates/residents/detainees	
24.	Enter the total number of STAFF, including both full- and part-time staff employed by the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	233	
25.	Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:	4	
26.	Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:	5	
27.	Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of staff, volunteers, and contractors who were in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit. Note: as this text will be included in the audit report, please do not include any personally identifiable information or other information that could compromise the confidentiality of any	On the first day of the Audit, there were no volunteers in the facility. The facility does allow five Prisoner Visitation and Support volunteers into the facility. Prisoner Visitation and Support is a volunteer visitation program for people incarcerated in federal and military prisons.	
	persons in the facility.		
	Interviews		
Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews		etainee Interviews	
	Random Inmate/Reside	ent/Detainee Interviews	
28.	Enter the total number of RANDOM INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed:	20	
29.	Select which characteristics you considered when you selected random inmate/resident/detainee interviewees:	 ✓ Age ✓ Race ✓ Ethnicity (e.g., Hispanic, Non-Hispanic) ✓ Length of time in the facility ✓ Housing assignment ✓ Gender 	
		Other (describe) None (explain) The Auditor conducted 20 rendem inmets intensions. For the	
	How did you ensure your sample of random inmate/resident/detainee interviewees was geographically diverse? Were you able to conduct the minimum number of		

	If no, explain why it was not possible to interview the minimum number of random inmate/resident/detainee interviews:	N/A
32.	Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation, etc.). Note: as this text will be included in the audit report, please do not include any personally identifiable information or other information that could compromise the confidentiality of any persons in the facility.	The Auditor was able to interview inmates during the onsite review. The selection of these inmates was not based on any specific criteria. During the onsite review, whenever the Auditor approached an inmate for a random informal interview, the staff escorts departed from the area to ensure the Auditor and the inmate had some privacy.
		ent/Detainee Interviews
33.	Enter the total number of TARGETED INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed: As stated in the PREA Auditor Handbook, the breakdown of targeted interviews is intended to guide auditors in interviewing the appropriate cross-section of inmates/residents/detainees who are the most vulnerable to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. When completing questions regarding targeted inmate/resident/detainee	
	interviews below, remember that an interview with one inmate/resident/detainee may satisfy multiple targeted interview requirements. These questions are asking about the number of interviews conducted using the targeted inmate/resident/detainee protocols.	18
	For example, if an auditor interviews an inmate who has a physical disability, is being held in segregated housing due to risk of sexual victimization, and disclosed prior sexual victimization, that interview would be included in the totals for each of those questions. Therefore, in most cases, the sum of all the following responses to the targeted inmate/resident/detainee interview categories will exceed the total number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees who were interviewed.	
	If a particular targeted population is not applicable in the audited facility, enter "0".	
34.	Enter the total number of interviews conducted with youthful inmates or youthful/juvenile detainees using the "Youthful Inmates" protocol:	0
	a. If 0, select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:	Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
	 b. If 0, discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees). 	The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford is an Adult Correctional facility. This facility does not incarcerate any youthful inmates

35.	inma using	r the total number of interviews conducted with tes/residents/detainees with a physical disability g the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient ttes" protocol:	0
	1	If 0, select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:	Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
	1 1	If 0, discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).	This population did not exist at the facility. The facility staff indicated there were no inmates with a physical disability; the Auditor did not observe any inmates with a physical disability during the tour of the facility.
36.	inma funct psyc	r the total number of interviews conducted with tes/residents/detainees with a cognitive or tional disability (including intellectual disability, chiatric disability, or speech disability) using the abled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" ocol:	3
	1	If 0, select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:	 ☐ Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. ☐ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
	1 1	If 0, discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).	
37.	inma visio	r the total number of interviews conducted with stes/residents/detainees who are Blind or have low on (visually impaired) using the "Disabled and ted English Proficient Inmates" protocol:	1
	1	If 0, select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:	 ☐ Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. ☐ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
	1 1	If 0, discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).	
38.	inma heari	r the total number of interviews conducted with ites/residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of- ing using the "Disabled and Limited English icient Inmates" protocol:	1

 a. If 0, select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category: b. If 0, discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and 	 ☐ Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. ☐ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees). 39. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Limited English	
Proficient (LEP) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:	3
a. If 0, select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:	 ☐ Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. ☐ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
 b. If 0, discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees). 	
40. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol:	4
If 0, select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:	 ☐ Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. ☐ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
 b. If 0, discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees). 	
41. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who identify as transgender or intersex "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol:	1
a. If 0, select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:	 ☐ Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. ☐ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
 If 0, discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the 	

PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).	
42. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in this facility using the "Inmates who Reported a Sexual Abuse" protocol:	1
If 0, select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:	 ☐ Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. ☐ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
 b. If 0, discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees). 	
43. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening using the "Inmates who Disclosed Sexual Victimization during Risk Screening" protocol:	3
If 0, select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:	 ☐ Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. ☐ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
 b. If 0, discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees). 	
44. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are or were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization using the "Inmates Placed in Segregated Housing (for Risk of Sexual Victimization/Who Alleged to have Suffered Sexual Abuse)" protocol:	1
If 0, select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:	 ☐ Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. ☐ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
 b. If 0, discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees). 	
45. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation, etc.).	The facility does not house youthful inmates, and the facility reported there were no inmates with a physical disability in the population. As a result, additional targeted interviews were conducted. Two additional inmates with limited English proficiency, one additional inmate who was visually impaired and one with limited hearing. One inmate in the population identified

	note: as this text will be included in the audit report, please do not include any personally identifiable information or other information that could compromise the confidentiality of any persons in the facility.	as transgender; therefore, two additional inmates who identified as gay were interviewed.	
	Staff, Volunteer, and Contractor Interviews		
	Random Sta	ff Interviews	
46.	Enter the total number of RANDOM STAFF who were interviewed:	13	
47.	Select which characteristics you considered when you selected RANDOM STAFF interviewees (select all that apply):	 ☑ Length of tenure in the facility ☑ Shift assignment ☐ Work assignment ☐ Rank (or equivalent) ☐ Other (describe) ☐ None (explain) 	
48.	Were you able to conduct the minimum number of RANDOM STAFF interviews?	⊠ Yes □ No	
	If no, select the reasons why you were not able to conduct the minimum number of RANDOM STAFF interviews (select all that apply):	 □ Too many staff declined to participate in interviews □ Not enough staff employed by the facility to meet the minimum number of random staff interviews (Note: select this option if there were not enough staff employed by the facility or not enough staff employed by the facility to interview for both random and specialized staff roles). □ Not enough staff available in the facility during the onsite portion of the audit to meet the minimum number of random staff interviews. □ Other (describe) 	
	b. Describe the steps you took to select additional RANDOM STAFF interviewees and why you were still unable to meet the minimum number of random staff interviews:		
49.	Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random staff (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, etc.). Note: as this text will be included in the audit report, please do not include any personally identifiable information or other information that could compromise the confidentiality of any persons in the facility.	Random staff interviews were conducted on each shift, five day watch staff, four evening watch staff and four graveyard staff. Six staff were female, and seven staff were male. One medical staff member was randomly interviewed, and twelve correctional officers.	
	Specialized Staff, Volunteers Staff in some facilities may be responsible for more than one of		
	protocol may apply to an interview with a single staff member and that interview would satisfy multiple specialized staff interview requirements.		
50.	Enter the total number of staff in a SPECIALIZED STAFF role who were interviewed (excluding volunteers and contractors):	21	
51.	Were you able to interview the Agency Head?	⊠ Yes □ No	
_	a. If no, explain why it was not possible to interview the Agency Head:		
52.	Were you able to interview the Warden/Facility Director/Superintendent or their designee?	⊠ Yes □ No	

	If no, explain why it was not possible to interview the Warden/Facility Director/Superintendent or their designee:	
53. Were	e you able to interview the PREA Coordinator?	⊠ Yes □ No
	If no, explain why it was not possible to interview the PREA Coordinator:	
	e you able to interview the PREA Compliance ager?	Yes No N/A (N/A if the agency is a single facility agency or is otherwise not required to have a PREA Compliance Manager per the Standards)
	If no, explain why it was not possible to interview the PREA Compliance Manager:	
55. Sele inter	ect which SPECIALIZED STAFF roles were rviewed as part of this audit (select all that apply):	 ☑ Agency contract administrator ☑ Intermediate or higher-level facility staff responsible for conducting and documenting unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment ☐ Line staff who supervise youthful inmates (if applicable) ☐ Education and program staff who work with youthful inmates (if applicable) ☑ Medical staff ☑ Mental health staff ☐ Non-medical staff involved in cross-gender strip or visual searches ☑ Administrative (human resources) staff ☐ Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner (SAFE) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) staff ☑ Investigative staff responsible for conducting administrative investigations ☐ Investigative staff responsible for conducting criminal investigations ☑ Staff who perform screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness ☑ Staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing/residents in isolation ☑ Staff on the sexual abuse incident review team ☑ Designated staff member charged with monitoring retaliation ☑ First responders, both security and non-security staff ☑ Intake staff ☑ Other (describe)
	you interview VOLUNTEERS who may have contact inmates/residents/detainees in this facility?	⊠ Yes □ No
	Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS who were interviewed:	1
	Select which specialized VOLUNTEER role(s) were interviewed as part of this audit (select all that apply):	☐ Education/programming ☐ Medical/dental

	Mental health/counseling
	Religious
	Other
57. Did you interview CONTRACTORS who may have contact with inmates/residents/detainees in this facility?	⊠ Yes □ No
 Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS who were interviewed: 	2
 b. Select which specialized CONTRACTOR role(s) were interviewed as part of this audit (select all that apply): 	 ☐ Security/detention ☐ Education/programming ☑ Medical/dental ☐ Food service ☐ Maintenance/construction ☐ Other
58. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing specialized staff (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, etc.). Note: as this text will be included in the audit report, please	
do not include any personally identifiable information or other information that could compromise the confidentiality of any persons in the facility.	
Site Review and Doc	umentation Sampling
Site R	eview
PREA Standard 115.401(h) states, "The auditor shall have access meet the requirements in this Standard, the site review portion of facility. The site review is not a casual tour of the facility. It is an act determine whether, and the extent to which, the audited facility discussions related to testing critical functions are expected to be narra	the onsite audit must include a thorough examination of the entire rive, inquiring process that includes talking with staff and inmates to a practices demonstrate compliance with the Standards. Note: e included in the relevant Standard-specific overall determination
59. Did you have access to all areas of the facility?	⊠ Yes □ No
 a. If no, explain what areas of the facility you were unable to access and why. 	
Was the site review an active, inquiring	process that included the following:
60. Reviewing/examining all areas of the facility in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument?	⊠ Yes □ No
 a. If no, explain why the site review did not include reviewing/examining all areas of the facility. 	
61. Testing and/or observing all critical functions in the facility in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., intake process, risk screening process, PREA education)?	⊠ Yes □ No
 a. If no, explain why the site review did not include testing and/or observing all critical functions in the facility. 	

63.	Informal conversations with staff during the site review (encouraged, not required)?	⊠ Yes □ No
64.	Provide any additional comments regarding the site review (e.g., access to areas in the facility, observations, tests of critical functions, or informal conversations). Note: as this text will be included in the audit report, please do not include any personally identifiable information or other information that could compromise the confidentiality of any persons in the facility.	The Auditor toured the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford escorted by the Institution PREA Compliance Manager (Associate Warden, Operations), the Chief Psychologist and a Case Manager. The tour included Receiving and Discharge, Health Services, Special Housing Unit, West Side Housing Units, Education, Commissary, Recreation, East Side Housing Units, Facilities, Safety, Laundry, Food Service, Religious Services and the Camp. During the tour, the Auditor observed opposite gender announcements, viewed PREA Audit notifications posted throughout, and PREA educational material and contact information for rape crisis counseling and emotional support services. The Auditor observed the PREA information posted in each housing unit, common areas, program and educational areas and the facility lobby. The Auditor also observed multiple security cameras, camera angles, privacy, and line of sight for showers and toilets. The shower and bathroom areas were constructed in such a manner that provided privacy for inmates. The Auditor observed inmates participating in educational programs and inmates actively working at jobs throughout the facility. The Auditor observed interaction between staff and inmates. The Auditor was able to do informal interviews with staff and inmates during the tour.
	Documentati	on Sampling
		ntractor, and volunteer training records; background check records; cords; inmate education records; medical files; and investigative epresentative sample of each type of record.
65.	In addition to the proof documentation selected by the agency or facility and provided to you, did you also conduct an auditor-selected sampling of documentation?	⊠ Yes □ No
66.	Provide any additional comments regarding selecting additional documentation (e.g., any documentation you oversampled, barriers to selecting additional documentation, etc.). Note: as this text will be included in the audit report, please do not include any personally identifiable information or other information that could compromise the confidentiality of any persons in the facility.	The following is a sample of the documents requested during the on-site portion of the audit. 1. List of staff in the facility for selecting staff for interviews. 2. List of inmates by housing unit to select inmates for interviews. 3. Files of persons hired or promoted in the last 12 months to determine whether proper criminal record background checks have been conducted and questions regarding past conduct were asked and answered. 4. Files of personnel hired to determine that the agency has completed checks. 5. Documentation of background records checks of current employees at five-year intervals. 6. Documentation of sexual abuse and harassment reports and documentation of investigations, including investigative reports. 7. Records for inmates admitted to the facility within the past 12 months for evidence of appropriate screening within 72 hours. 8. Records of initial assessment and reassessment for sexual victimization or abusiveness risk. 9. Records of inmates reassessed for risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness. 10. Sample of records of inmates who have been victims or perpetrators of sexual abuse for confirmation of reassessment. 11. Documentation of nay monitoring efforts for inmates or staff. 13. Documentation of housing assignments of inmates who alleged to have suffered sexual abuse. 14. Sample of investigative reports for allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. 15. Documentation demonstrating notification to mental health practitioners for inmates who reported abuse during

				Mental health records that nmate abusers.	t demonstrate evaluation of
Sexual Abu	use and Sexual Haras	ssment Alle	gations a	nd Investigations in	this Facility
S	exual Abuse and Sexual F	Harassment A	llegations a	nd Investigations Overvi	ew
Note: For question bre resident, or deta	evity, we use the term "inma ainee sexual abuse allegati	d solely on the ite" in the follow ions and invest	number of ir ving questior tigations, as	nvestigations conducted. ns. Auditors should provide applicable to the facility typ	information on inmate, be being audited.
67. Total number of SEXU incident type:	JAL ABUSE allegations ar	nd investigation	ons overvie	w during the 12 months p	receding the audit, by
Instructions: If you are unal cannot be provided.	ble to provide information fo	or one or more	of the fields	below, enter an "X" in the t	
	# of sexual abuse allegations	# of criminal investigations	s	# of administrative investigations	# of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations
Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse	4	0		4	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	0		0	0
Total	4	0		4	0
	a. If you were unable to provide any of the information above, explain why this information could not be				
	JAL HARASSMENT allega	itions and inv	estigations	overview during the 12 m	onths preceding the
audit, by incident type:					
Instructions: If you are unal cannot be provided.	ble to provide information fo	or one or more	of the fields	below, enter an "X" in the t	ïeld(s) where information
	# of sexual harassment allegations	# of criminal investigations	s	# of administrative investigations	# of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations
Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0		0	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0		0	0
Total	0	0		0	0
a. If you were unable to provide any of the information above, explain why this information could not be provided.					
Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes					
	Sexua	al Abuse Inves	stigation Outo	comes	

PREA Audit Report – V7. Page 18 of 132 FCI Oxford, Wisconsin

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently (i.e., if a criminal investigation was referred for prosecution and resulted in a conviction, that investigation outcome should only appear in the count for "convicted.") Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detained sexual abuse investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

69. Criminal SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

Instructions: If you are unable to provide information for one or more of the fields below, enter an "X" in the field(s) where information cannot be provided

cannot be provided.					
	Ongoing	Referred for Prosecution	Indicted/Court Case Filed	Convicted/Adjudicated	Acquitted
Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0

 If you were unable to provide any of the information above, explain why this information could not be provided.

70. Administrative SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

Instructions: If you are unable to provide information for one or more of the fields below, enter an "X" in the field(s) where information cannot be provided.

	Ongoing	Unfounded	Unsubstantiated	Substantiated
Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	0	4	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	4	0

 If you were unable to provide any of the information above, explain why this information could not be provided.

Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently. Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detainee sexual harassment investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

71. Criminal SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

Instructions: If you are unable to provide information for one or more of the fields below, enter an "X" in the field(s) where information cannot be provided.

	Ongoing	Referred for Prosecution	Indicted/Court Case Filed	Convicted/Adjudicated	Acquitted
Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0

abov	u were unable to provide any o re, explain why this informatior ided.				
72. Administi	rative SEXUAL HARASSMENT	investigation outco	mes during t	he 12 months preced	ing the audit:
Instructions: li cannot be pro	f you are unable to provide inform vided.	nation for one or more	e of the fields	below, enter an "X" in	the field(s) where information
	Ongoing	Unfounded		Unsubstantiated	Substantiated
Inmate-on-in		0		0	0
Staff-on-inm sexual haras	ate 0	0		0	0
Total	0	0		0	0
abov	a. If you were unable to provide any of the information above, explain why this information could not be provided. Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Review Sexual Abuse Investigation Files Selected for Review				
	total number of SEXUAL ABU	SE investigation	4		
	ewed/sampled:	a roviou any	•		
	explain why you were unable to al abuse investigation files:	o review arry			
74. Did your selection of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes?			✓ Yes✓ No✓ N/A (N/A if you were unable to review any sexual abuse investigation files)		
	Inmate-	on-inmate sexual a	buse investi	gation files	
75. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:			4		
76. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations?				No A if you were unable to abuse investigation file	o review any inmate-on-inmate
77. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations?			Yes No N/A (N/A if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)		
	Staff-o	on-inmate sexual ab	use investig	ation files	
	total number of STAFF-ON-INI nvestigation files reviewed/sam			id not conduct any staff- s in the previous 12 mon	
	sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE tion files include criminal inves			☐ No A if you were unable to abuse investigation file	o review any staff-on-inmate ss)
	sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE tion files include administrative		Yes	☐ No A if you were unable to	o review any staff-on-inmate

sexual abuse investigation files)

	Sexual Harassment Investiga	tion Files Selected for Review			
81.	Enter the total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:	0			
	a. If 0, explain why you were unable to review any sexual harassment investigation files:	The facility did not conduct any sexual harassment investigations in the previous 12 months			
82.	Did your selection of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A (N/A if you were unable to review any sexual harassment investigation files)			
	Inmate-on-inmate sexual hara	ssment investigation files			
83.	Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:	The facility did not conduct any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigations in the previous 12 months			
84.	Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include criminal investigations?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A (N/A if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)			
85.	Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations?	Yes No N/A (N/A if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)			
	Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files				
86.	Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:	The facility did not conduct any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigations in the previous 12 months			
87.	Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include criminal investigations?	Yes No N/A (N/A if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)			
88.	Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A (N/A if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)			
89.	Provide any additional comments regarding selecting and reviewing sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigation files. Note: as this text will be included in the audit report, please do not include any personally identifiable information or other information that could compromise the confidentiality of any persons in the facility.	Four administrative investigations involving inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse were conducted in the previous 12 months. The Auditor reviewed all four investigations.			
	Support Staf	f Information			
	DOJ-certified PREA A	uditors Support Staff			
90.	Did you receive assistance from any DOJ-CERTIFIED PREA AUDITORS at any point during this audit? Remember: the audit includes all activities from the pre-onsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly.	☐ Yes No			
	a. If yes, enter the TOTAL NUMBER OF DOJ-CERTIFIED PREA AUDITORS who provided assistance at any point during the audit:				

Non-certified Support Staff				
91. Did you receive assistance from any NON-CERTIFIED SUPPORT STAFF at any point during this audit?				
Remember: the audit includes all activities from the pre-onsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly.	☐ Yes No			
If yes, enter the TOTAL NUMBER OF NON- CERTIFIED SUPPORT STAFF who provided assistance at any point during the audit:				

Auditing Arrangements and Compensation				
	☐ The audited facility or its parent agency			
92. Who paid you to conduct this audit?	My state/territory or county government (if you audit as part of a consortium or circular auditing arrangement, select this option)			
	A third-party auditing entity (e.g., accreditation body, consulting firm)			
	☐ Other			

PREVENTION PLANNING

Standard 115.11: Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by The Auditor to Complete the Report

115.11	(a)					
•	Does the agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? $\ oxtimes$ Yes $\ oxtimes$ No					
•		he written policy outline the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding all abuse and sexual harassment? $\ oxdot \ Yes \ oxdot \ No$				
115.11	(b)					
•	Has the	e agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator? $oxdot$ Yes $oxdot$ No				
•	Is the F	PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy? $oxtimes$ Yes $oxtimes$ No				
•	overse	he PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and e agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities? $\hfill\Box$ No				
115.11	(c)					
•	If this agency operates more than one facility, has each facility designated a PREA compliance manager? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.) ⋈ Yes □ No □ NA					
•	Does the PREA compliance manager have sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility's efforts to comply with the PREA standards? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA					
Audito	r Over	all Compliance Determination				
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)				
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)				
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)				

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's

conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Program Statement 3420.11, Standards of Employee Conduct

Program Statement 5270.09, Inmate Discipline Program

Institution Supplement 5324.12C, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Organization Charts for the Federal Bureau of Prisons and the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford were reviewed.

Interviews conducted with:

PREA Coordinator

Institution PREA Compliance Manager

Warden

In order to determine compliance, the following policies were reviewed. Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program pages 1-14, 24-28, and 49, Program Statement 3420.11, Standards of Employee Conduct, Pages 6-7, Program Statement 5270.09, Inmate Discipline Program, pages 44-49. Institution Supplement 5324.12C, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 1-2. These policies provide the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. It has definitions of prohibited behaviors and sanctions for those prohibited behaviors. The policies provide strategies and responses to reduce and prevent sexual violence. The policies also include procedural guidelines, such as screening inmates upon intake, training (for both staff and inmates), reporting procedures (both staff and inmates), intervention procedures, and investigative guidelines. Finally, the policies provide for data collection and data reporting. Additionally, the Organization Charts for the Federal Bureau of Prisons and the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford were reviewed.

In order to determine compliance, interviews were conducted with the PREA Coordinator, the Institution PREA Compliance Manager, and the Warden. The PREA Coordinator indicated she had enough time to coordinate the agency's PREA compliance efforts. The Institution PREA Compliance Manager indicated he had enough time to coordinate the facility's PREA Compliance efforts. Both the PREA Coordinator and the Institution PREA Compliance Manager were knowledgeable concerning PREA. They articulated the vision of zero tolerance at the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford and throughout the Federal Bureau of Prisons.

In order to determine compliance, the following observations were made during the on-site tour of the facility: The housing units had signs informing inmates of their right to be free of sexual abuse. There were signs in both English and Spanish informing inmates about how to report incidents of sexual abuse.

The following describes how the evidence above was used to determine compliance. Federal Correctional Institution Oxford has a zero-tolerance policy and training program that meets the requirements for this standard. The policies provide the facility's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. It has definitions of prohibited behaviors and sanctions for those prohibited behaviors. The policies provide strategies and responses to reduce and prevent sexual abuse.

The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford has a training program that meets the requirements for this standard.

The overriding approach taken by Federal Correctional Institution Oxford to eliminate or prevent sexual abuse and sexual harassment of its inmates is to ensure uniformity of the agency's zero-tolerance policy in all facility areas. Including providing definitions of prohibited behaviors regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and prescribing sanctions against staff and inmates who engage in sexual abuse or sexual harassment. In the event of an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment, Federal Correctional Institution Oxford has developed a coordinated response plan that ensures the safety and security of the alleged victim while providing for a comprehensive and systematic investigation into the allegation.

The policies apply to all Federal Correctional Institution Oxford staff, including employees, volunteers, contractors, and inmates at Federal Correctional Institution Oxford.

Federal Correctional Institution Oxford utilizes the following strategies to reduce and prevent sexual abuse and sexual harassment at the facility:

- 1. Designating a staff member as the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford Institution PREA Compliance Manager, who will ensure that the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford fully complies with all PREA standards.
- 2. Training staff (including contractors and volunteers) to detect sexual abuse and sexual harassment.
- 3. Screening for risk of sexual victimization and abusiveness.
- 4. Requiring all staff (including contractors and volunteers) to promptly report all reported or suspected incidents of sexual abuse, sexual harassment, and retaliation.
- 5. Respond promptly and effectively to all reports of sexual abuse, sexual harassment, and retaliation by ensuring that staff (including contractors and volunteers) cooperates fully with any investigation.
- 6. Administering sanctions for those found to have participated in prohibited behavior.
- 7. Providing medical and mental health care to victims and abusers.
- 8. Performing an annual evaluation to assess how Federal Correctional Institution Oxford can improve its zero-tolerance policy and procedures.
- 9. Ensuring that the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford is audited for PREA compliance.

The Institution PREA Compliance Manager indicated he had enough time to manage and oversee the implementation of PREA standards. The auditor reviewed several policies and the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford Organizational Chart. The auditor conducted a facility tour to evaluate posted information throughout the facility. Also, interviews were conducted with the PREA Coordinator and the Institution PREA Compliance Manager and the Warden. The Warden supported the efforts of the PREA Coordinator and the Institution PREA Compliance Manager.

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program pages 1-14, 24-28, and 49, Program Statement 3420.11, Standards of Employee Conduct, Pages 6-7, Program Statement 5270.09, Inmate Discipline Program, pages 44-49. Institution Supplement 5324.12C, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 1-2. address 115.11. (a,b,c)

Given the above, the auditor finds Federal Correctional Institution Oxford substantially compliant with 115.11

Standard 115.12: Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

		, and a second of the second o	
115.12	(a)		
•	or othe obligati or after	agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its inmates with private agencies or entities including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity's ion to comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed on August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other for the confinement of inmates.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA	
115.12	(b)		
•	Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA		
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination			
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)	
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)	

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

The agency meets the requirements of this standard. A review of the documentation submitted confirmed the agency requires other entities contracted for the confinement of inmates (privatized prisons and residential reentry centers or "halfway houses") to adopt and comply with the PREA standards.

Each private facility under contract with the Bureau of Prisons has the following contract language: "The contractor shall develop policy and procedures for the establishment of a sexual abuse/ assault program and comply with the Prison Rape Elimination Act of 2003 and the national standards to prevent, detect and respond to prison rape as contained in 28 CFR Part 115, National Standards To

Prevent, Detect, and Respond to Prison Rape; Final Rule, dated June 20, 2012." The contractor's policies and procedures are reviewed by Bureau subject matter experts who ensure appropriate adherence to national standards and regulations. The contractor must notify the Bureau of Prisons of any PREA allegation and forward a copy of the allegation, the investigation, and the findings to the Bureau of Prisons oversight staff for review. Bureau of Prisons oversight staff and the respective Health Systems Specialist review any PREA allegation to ensure compliance with PREA requirements. Such reviews are reflected in monitoring reports. Additionally, at least once a year, the Bureau of Prisons Quality Assurance Program conducts a review of all of each contractor's PREA allegations to determine contract compliance.

According to the President's Executive Order on Reforming Our Incarceration System to Eliminate the Use of Privately Operated Criminal Detention Facilities, the agency reported the Attorney General shall not renew Department of Justice contracts with privately operated criminal detention facilities, as consistent with applicable law. As such, no new contracts have been executed since the date of the Executive Order, January 26, 2021. The Bureau of Prisons' remaining private contract facilities have undergone at least an initial national PREA Certification, with subsequent re-certifications every three years. According to the Contract Administrator, compliance results were timely submitted to the Bureau of Prisons.

The auditor notes that Federal Correctional Institution Oxford does not contract for the confinement of inmates.

Based on the information provided by the Federal Bureau of Prisons Contract Administrator, there is substantial compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.13: Supervision and monitoring

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.13 (a)

4	· \~/
•	Does the facility have a documented staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against sexual abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Generally accepted detention and correctional practices? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any judicial findings of inadequacy? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from internal or external

oversight bodies? \boxtimes Yes \square No

•	staffing plan take into consideration: All components of the facility's physical plant (including "blind-spots" or areas where staff or inmates may be isolated)? Yes No
•	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The composition of the inmate population? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The number and placement of supervisory staff? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The institution programs occurring on a particular shift? \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any other relevant factors? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.13	3 (b)
•	In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility document and justify all deviations from the plan? (N/A if no deviations from staffing plan.) \square Yes \square No \boxtimes NA
115.13	3 (c)
•	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The facility's deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adherence to the staffing plan? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.13	3 (d)

•	level s	e facility/agency implemented a policy and practice of having intermediate-level or highe upervisors conduct and document unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual and sexual harassment? $oxtimes$ Yes \oxtimes No	
•	Is this	policy and practice implemented for night shifts as well as day shifts? $oxtimes$ Yes \odots No	
	these	he facility/agency have a policy prohibiting staff from alerting other staff members that supervisory rounds are occurring, unless such announcement is related to the legitimate ional functions of the facility? $oxtimes$ Yes \oxtimes No	
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination			
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)	
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)	
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)	
Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Negrative			

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Program Statement 3000.03, Human Resource Management Manual, chapter 3

Program Statement 5324.12 Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Institution Supplement 5324.12C, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Institution Supplement 5502.11, Institution Duty Officers

Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention, Overview for Offenders pamphlet First Quarter (FY-2022) Annual Workforce Purchase and Utilization Plan (Staffing Plan) Salary / Workforce Meeting.

Fourth Quarter Salary Workforce Meeting (July 2021)

Second Quarter Salary Workforce Meeting (March 2022)

Federal Correctional Institution Oxford Staffing Report for Pay Period 2 (1-16-2022- 1-29-2022)

Unannounced round logs between May and December 2021

Interviews:

Institution PREA Compliance Manager

PREA Coordinator

Intermediate or higher-level staff

The following policies were reviewed to determine compliance, Program Statement 3000.03, Human Resource Management Manual, chapter 3, pages 8-12, Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, page16, and Institution Supplement 5502.11, Institution Duty Officers, Page 3. Additionally, the meeting notes from the First Quarter (FY-2022) Salary meeting, the notes from the Fourth Quarter Salary Workforce Meeting (July 2021) and the Federal Correctional

Institution Oxford Staffing Report for Pay Period 2 (1-16-2022 – 1-29-2022). Finally, the auditor reviewed the unannounced round logs between May and December 2021; 15 unannounced logs were reviewed.

The Institution PREA Compliance Manager reviews the Annual Staffing Plan Assessment. The Institution PREA Compliance Manager reports that the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford supervisors develop, document, and regularly comply with a staffing plan that provides adequate staffing levels.

The auditor notes that each of the 11 factors identified for staffing plan development is identified and addressed.

An interview was conducted with the Institution PREA Compliance Manager concerning staffing levels, staffing reports, and annual reports to determine compliance. The Institution PREA Compliance Manager indicated that at the beginning of the fiscal year, he works with the Department Heads to formulate a staffing plan for the fiscal year based on allotted positions and budget, historical turnover data, known and anticipated vacancies, and anticipated workloads. The committee reviews and revises the annual staffing plan throughout the year as changes occur. Departmental rosters are reviewed to determine whether positions and salary funds, including overtime, are efficiently utilized. The findings from program reviews, and operational reviews, are used to identify workforce needs, especially those that may negatively affect the efficiency of programs. The Workforce committee recommends actions to eliminate anticipated deficits. Finally, as a committee, they recommend actions designed to increase the effective use of resources, such as consolidation of functions, reorganization, and greater use of technology.

The auditor observed appropriate staffing levels throughout the facility to determine compliance.

The following describes how the evidence above was used to conclude compliance. The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford implements a staffing plan that provides adequate staffing levels. In addition to staff members, video monitoring protects inmates from sexual abuse. The staffing plan is reviewed every year by the Institution PREA Compliance Manager, the Warden, Associate Wardens, Human Resource Manager, Chief of Correctional Services (Captain), and the Business Manager. There have been no deviations from the staffing plan in the past 12 months. The facility staffing plan is reviewed yearly, and this review includes a vulnerability analysis that looks at the physical plant, video monitoring systems, and the overall allocation of resources.

The Staffing plan review includes a review of any judicial findings (none) or inadequacies from federal investigative or internal/external oversight agencies (none). The plan reviews the facility's architectural weaknesses, the population statistics for the previous year, and an analysis of the population for the day on which the review took place.

The Institution PREA Compliance Manager reports the facility documents and justifies all deviations from the staffing plan each time there is non-compliance. If any deviations from the staffing plan arise, explanations for deviations are documented. The Institution PREA Compliance Manager states that during the last 12 months, there were zero instances wherein staffing ratios deviated from the established staffing plan.

During the facility tour, the auditor observed direct supervision in housing units. The auditor observed staff members moving from room to room in program areas.

The Institution PREA Compliance Manager reviews the staffing plan to determine whether adjustments are needed, prevailing staffing patterns are considered, monitoring technology deployment is considered, and requests for video surveillance upgrades are made.

Intermediate-level or higher-level staff conduct unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Rounds are documented and cover all shifts. Facility policy does prohibit staff from alerting other staff of such announced rounds.

In order to affect the deterrence of staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment, unannounced rounds are being conducted weekly and on all shifts by intermediate and supervisory staff. Staff members are prohibited from alerting other staff members that these supervisory rounds occur. Institution Duty Officers conduct unannounced rounds throughout the institution, and they enter the time each area is visited. The Institution Duty Officer report is signed and delivered to the Institution PREA Compliance Manager for retention at the end of the week. The Auditor's review of Unannounced Rounds logs covering the time frame between May and December 2021; reveals substantial compliance with 115.1(d). Rounds cover each of the three shifts, and the logs include the supervisor's initials and corresponding dates.

The intermediate or higher-level staff interviewees indicate they have conducted unannounced PREA rounds. Each supervisor interviewed reported that random checks are always random, staggered, and never predictable to prevent staff from alerting other staff.

During the facility tour, the auditor inquired of Unit staff concerning a supervisor's presence in the Unit. The Unit Officer indicated a Supervisor generally makes rounds several times during a shift.

Program Statement 3000.03, Human Resource Management Manual, chapter 3, pages 8-12, Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, page 16, and Institution Supplement 5324.12C, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, page 6, address 115.13 (a) and (b)

Meeting notes from the First Quarter (FY-2022) Annual Workforce Purchase and Utilization Plan (Staffing Plan) Salary / Workforce Meeting, the Fourth Quarter Salary Workforce Meeting (July 2021) and the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford Staffing Report for Pay Period 2 (1-16-2022- 1-29-2022), address 115.13 (a) (b) and (c).

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, page 16, Institution Supplement 5502.11, Institution Duty Officer, page 3 and Unannounced Rounds logs covering the time frames between October and December 2021, address 115.1(d).

Given the above, the auditor finds Federal Correctional Institution Oxford substantially compliant with 115.13.

Standard 115.14: Youthful inmates

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.14 (a)

 Does the facility place all youthful inmates in housing units that separate them from sight, sound, and physical contact with any adult inmates through use of a shared dayroom or other

		on space, shower area, or sleeping quarters? (N/A if facility does not have youthfules [inmates <18 years old].) \square Yes \square No \boxtimes NA	
115.14	l (b)		
•	youthf	as outside of housing units does the agency maintain sight and sound separation between ul inmates and adult inmates? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 old].) \square Yes \square No \boxtimes NA	
•	inmate	as outside of housing units does the agency provide direct staff supervision when youthfules and adult inmates have sight, sound, or physical contact? (N/A if facility does not have ul inmates [inmates <18 years old].) \square Yes \square No \boxtimes NA	
115.14	ł (c)		
•	with th	the agency make its best efforts to avoid placing youthful inmates in isolation to comply its provision? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) \square No \square NA	
•	exercis	the agency, while complying with this provision, allow youthful inmates daily large-muscle se and legally required special education services, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A ty does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) \square Yes \square No \boxtimes NA	
•	possib	uthful inmates have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent sle? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) So \square NO \square NA	
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination			
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)	
		Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)	
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)	
nstructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative			

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

According to the Warden, Institution PREA Compliance Manager, and the Captain, no youthful inmates are housed at the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford.

Given the above, the auditor finds the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford substantially compliant with 115.14.

Standard 115.15: Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.15 (a)
 Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners? ☑ Yes □ No
115.15 (b)
 Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
■ Does the facility always refrain from restricting female inmates' access to regularly available programming or other out-of-cell opportunities in order to comply with this provision? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.) □ Yes □ No ☒ NA
115.15 (c)
 Does the facility document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches? ⊠ Yes □ No Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates? (N/A if the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates? (N/A if the
facility does not have female inmates.) □ Yes □ No □ NA
115.15 (d)
■ Does the facility have policies that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks? ⊠ Yes □ No
■ Does the facility have procedures that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks? ⊠ Yes □ No
■ Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an inmate housing unit? ⊠ Yes □ No
115.15 (e)
• •

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Program Statement 5521.06, Searches of Housing Units, Inmates and Inmate Work Areas Interviews:

Random Staff

Random Inmate

The PRE-Audit Questionnaire indicates that inmates' cross-gender strip or visual body cavity searches are not conducted at Federal Correctional Institution Oxford. Accordingly, no cross-gender strip or cross-gender body cavity searches of inmates were conducted at Federal Correctional Institution Oxford during the audit period.

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, page 17, Program Statement 5521.06, Searches of Housing Units, Inmates and Inmate Work Areas, pages 1-5 address 115.15(a).

Strip searches, if warranted, are conducted by staff of the same gender as the inmates and are based on reasonable suspicion.

Strip searches are conducted by same-gender staff. There is no instance at the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford in which a cross-gender strip search has been undertaken. Additionally, there have been no body cavity searches during the audit period.

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, page 17, 5521.06, Searches of Housing Units, Inmates and Inmate Work Areas, pages 1-5 addresses 115.15(b).

The PRE-Audit Questionnaire indicates that all cross-gender strip searches are documented. The PRE-Audit Questionnaire indicates no cross-gender strip searches have occurred at the facility.

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, page 17, 5521.06, Searches of Housing Units, Inmates and Inmate Work Areas, pages 1-5 addresses 115.15(c).

The PRE-Audit Questionnaire indicates policies and procedures have been implemented at Federal Correctional Institution Oxford that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without a nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their buttocks or genitalia. Except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks.

According to Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, page 18,

Inmates are notified of the presence of opposite-gender staff members in several ways: Inmates are advised of the requirement to remain clothed and the presence of cross-gender staff generally during the Intake Screening process and the Admission and Orientation process.

The following notice is posted on inmate bulletin boards and signs within housing units, including segregated housing areas: "NOTICE TO INMATES: Male and female staff routinely work and visit inmate housing areas."

For housing unit officers, an announcement is made at the beginning of primary shifts or other appropriate times to be determined locally. The verbal announcement to each housing unit, including segregated housing areas, will be "Notice: Opposite-gender staff will be in housing units during this shift." This announcement is made using the general public address system (e.g., from Control or Lieutenants' Office). If the public address system does not cover these areas, an individual announcement in each housing area, including segregated housing areas, is made.

For staff members with offices in the housing units, e.g., the Unit Team, the most recent schedule is posted in the unit, so inmates are aware when opposite-gender staff is present.

As the agency has provided at least four ways to notify inmates that oppositegender staff are present in the housing units, the only time an announcement is made is if a public address system is not working, or an opposite-gender staff member comes into a unit area which is not the assigned housing unit officer, or unit team member working there, and must go into individual cells, showers, or bathroom areas.

Any other announcement mechanism at the local level will be determined through collective bargaining in the local Institution Supplement.

The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford has an "announce" procedure requiring a staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence or otherwise notify the inmates when entering an inmate housing unit. Additionally, at the beginning of the shift, an announcement is made to alert inmates that opposite gender staff is likely to be present in the housing unit. Twenty-seven "random and targeted" inmates interviewed confirmed that female staff members, as applicable, announce their presence in this manner when entering a housing unit. The Auditor observed female staff members announcing their presence when entering a housing unit.

All six female random staff interviewees indicate they announce their presence when entering a housing unit. All 13 random staff interviewees indicated that inmates could dress, shower, and use the toilet without being viewed by a staff of the opposite gender.

Twenty-seven inmate interviewees (targeted and random) indicated opposite-gender staff announced their presence when entering a housing area. All 35 inmate interviewees (targeted and random) stated they and their peers are never naked or in full view of opposite gender staff when they shower, use the toilet, or change clothes.

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, page 18, addresses 115.15(d).

The PRE-Audit Questionnaire indicates that the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford staff are prohibited from searching or physically examining a transgender/intersex inmate to determine the inmate's genital status. According to the Institution PREA Compliance Manager, no such searches have been conducted during the audit period.

All 13 random staff interviewees indicated the facility prohibits staff from searching or physically examining a transgender/intersex inmate to determine the inmate's genital status. All interviewees indicated they were well aware of the expectation.

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, page 19, addresses 115.15(e).

The PRE-Audit Questionnaire indicates that the security staff has received training on conducting patdown searches. The auditor reviewed the Training Curriculum and the staff training rosters indicating the participation of the staff. The auditor asserts that the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford staff are compliant with 115.15 (f).

Given the above, the auditor finds the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford substantially compliant with 115.15.

Standard 115.16: Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115	.16	(a)
-----	-----	-----

•	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are blind or have low vision? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have intellectual disabilities? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have psychiatric disabilities? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have speech disabilities? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other (if "other," please explain in overall determination notes)? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
•	Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have intellectual disabilities? \boxtimes Yes \square No

•	ensure	he agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have reading skills? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	ensure	he agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Are blind or ow vision? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.16	(b)	
•	agency	he agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the 's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to s who are limited English proficient? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	imparti	se steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and ally, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? \Box No
115.16	(c)	
•	types o	he agency always refrain from relying on inmate interpreters, inmate readers, or other of inmate assistance except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in an effective interpreter could compromise the inmate's safety, the performance of first-se duties under §115.64, or the investigation of the inmate's allegations? Yes No
Audito	r Overa	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
		Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
Instru	ctions f	or Overall Compliance Determination Narrative
complia conclus not me	ance or l sions. Ti et the st	nelow must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's his discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does and and another the recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by specific corrective actions taken by the facility.
Federa Bureau Overvi	m State al Correct of Priscew for CoageLine ews:	ement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program ctional Institution Oxford Inmate Handbook ons PREA Pamphlet entitled "Sexually Abusive Behavior, Prevention and Intervention, Offenders" Interpreter Contract

Random Inmate Random Staff

The following policy and documentation were reviewed to determine compliance. Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 19-20, the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford Inmate Handbook, Bureau of Prisons PREA Pamphlet entitled "Sexually Abusive Behavior, Prevention and Intervention, Overview for Offenders" and the LanguageLine Interpreter Contract.

To determine compliance, interviews were conducted with two staff members who conducted initial intake interviews. The auditor observed the intake paperwork and the information provided concerning PREA and had staff explain what procedures would be followed if an inmate was experiencing difficulty understanding the material. Intake information is available in English and Spanish.

The following describes how the evidence above was used to conclude compliance. The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford has procedures to provide disabled inmates with the opportunity to participate in the facility's efforts to prevent and respond to sexual abuse and harassment. In addition to written and visual education materials, Federal Correctional Institution Oxford has agreements with interpreters to provide effective communication with inmates who do not understand English. The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford does not rely on inmate interpreters.

The intake staff members are prepared to provide information in a format that will allow inmates with limited reading skills, hearing disabilities, intellectual disabilities, or low vision to know and understand all aspects of the facility's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Staff members will read information to the inmates for inmates with limited reading skills.

The auditor conducted intake staff interviews; in each of the interviews, staff indicated they provided PREA information to inmates and took the time to ensure the inmates understood the material provided. The staff indicated they were prepared to provide information concerning the entire intake process to inmates who were either blind or Deaf.

The auditor reviewed samples of PREA Posters in both English and Spanish. The PREA information provided to inmates is also available in Spanish. These PREA Posters were located in every housing unit and contained information concerning at least four methods to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment incidents. Other posted information provides inmates with contact information for the Hope House of South Central Wisconsin. This outside advocacy group is available to the inmate population if an inmate would like to seek emotional support services related to sexual abuse or harassment incidents. The phone number provided is toll-free. Interviews with facility staff indicate a variety of methods are available to ensure inmates understand the material presented to them.

The Auditor reviewed the intake procedures and the printed materials for inmates. Upon initial screening, the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford, intake, unit management and psychology staff members document whether an inmate displays a language barrier or other disability, preventing understanding of basic PREA provisions or sexual abuse prevention intervention information. As applicable, a follow-up plan is developed to foster communication.

According to the Institution PREA Compliance Manager, inmate education for inmates who are deaf or present with hearing disabilities would be accommodated by the written PREA information during orientation and the Inmate handbook. These methods present opportunities for the inmate to read procedures. Regarding inmates who are blind or present with visual disabilities, the Institution PREA

Compliance Manager advised staff would read relevant information to the affected inmates. With the use of translated printed materials and the use of "LanguageLine Solutions, a contracted interpretation service, the facility ensures that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in and benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The agency has established a Blanket Purchase Agreement with LanguageLine Solutions (LLS) to assist inmates with limited English proficiency.

The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford does not utilize inmate interpreters in any circumstances. However, random staff interviews indicate that inmate information provided during an emergent situation (where an extended delay in obtaining a competent interpreter could compromise the inmate's safety) brief interpretation of the emergent situation would be allowed. At the same time, the staff indicated that the victim's protection was the most critical factor.

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program page, 19-20, Bureau of Prisons PREA Pamphlet entitled "Sexually Abusive Behavior, Prevention, and Intervention, Overview for Offenders," and the Inmate Handbook (English and Spanish) addresses 115.16(a).

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 19-20, Bureau of Prisons PREA Pamphlet entitled "Sexually Abusive Behavior, Prevention, and Intervention, Overview for Offenders," address 115.16(b).

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 19-20, addresses 115.16(c).

Given the above, the auditor finds Federal Correctional Institution Oxford substantially compliant with 115.16.

Standard 115.17: Hiring and promotion decisions

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.17 (a	1	1	5.	.1	7	(a
-----------	---	---	----	----	---	----

•	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the question immediately above? ⊠ Yes □ No

■ Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? ☑ Yes ☐ No

•	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the question immediately above? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.17	(b)
•	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone who may have contact with inmates? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates? $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $
115.17	' (c)
_	Defense bising years and a second support of the control of the co
•	Before hiring new employees, who may have contact with inmates, does the agency perform a criminal background records check? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates, does the agency, consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.17	' (d)
•	Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.17	' (e)
•	Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with inmates or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.17	' (f)
•	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions? \boxtimes Yes \square No

•	about _l	he agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written aluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•		he agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such induct? $oximes$ Yes \oximin No
115.17	(g)	
•		he agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of ally false information, grounds for termination? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.17	(h)	
•	harass employ substa	he agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual sment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional yer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on ntiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is ited by law.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
Audito	r Over	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
Instruc	ctions f	for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Bureau of Prisons application form

Program Statement 3420.11, Standards of Employee Conduct

Program Statement 3000.03, Human Resource Management Manual

Bureau of Prisons Recruitment Flyer

Bureau of Prisons Pre-Employment Guide

OPM's Public Trust Positions

National Background Investigation Bureau Resources

Standard Form 85 Public Trust Positions

Two internal Bureau of Prisons memorandums detailing procedures for information sharing

Declaration for Federal Employment optional form 306

Review of employee Human Resource (HR) files

Interviews:

Human Resource staff

The PRE-Audit Questionnaire indicates agency policy prohibits hiring or promoting anyone who may have contact with inmates and prohibits enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who:

- a. Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution;
- b. Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse; or
- c. Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described above.

The auditor's review of the Bureau of Prisons application form reveals the three questions articulated in 115.17(a) are addressed.

The PRE-Audit Questionnaire indicates that agency policy requires considering any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone or enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates.

The auditor's random review of employee Human Resource files reveals reference checks of previous employers were completed. The auditor finds Federal Correctional Institution Oxford compliant with 115.17(b).

The Human Resource Manager asserts the facility considers prior incidents of sexual harassment when determining whether to hire or promote anyone or to enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates.

The Human Resource Manager asserts the facility performs criminal background record checks, consults appropriate child registries in the state or locality in which the employee will work, or considers pertinent civil or administrative adjudications for all newly hired employees who may have contact with inmates and all employees who may have contact with inmates, who are considered for promotions. The same procedure applies to contractors who may have contact with inmates.

After the initial application, there is an initial interview, and criminal background record checks are completed.

Twelve full-time employees were hired within the past 12 months; all criminal background checks were completed appropriately.

Background checks are completed every five years for current employees. The Auditor reviewed ten employee files and two contractor files; criminal background checks had been completed. Employees who fail to disclose information concerning misconduct can be terminated from employment. The Human Resource Manager confirmed that background checks are completed every five years and that appropriate sanctions are available for staff members who fail to report misconduct.

As indicated by the Human Resource Manager, any deception, misinformation, or misinformation by the omission of information at any stage during the application and Employment Screening Process shall disgualify the applicant from employment.

The Background investigation includes a review of the following criteria: Criminal History/Activity: Any applicant with the following criminal/traffic conviction history or criminal/illegal activity shall typically be disqualified from employment. Any Conviction of any crime consisting of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community by using force, overt, or implied threats of force or coercion is disqualified from employment. A National Crime Information Center (NCIC) criminal history check is completed on every applicant.

The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford considers any incidents of sexual harassment during the application process.

The auditor reviewed Program Statement 3420.11, Standards of Employee Conduct, pages 6-8, and Program Statement 3000.03, Human Resource Management Manual, Chapter 3, page 8, and Chapter 7, pages 41-45. The auditor also reviewed the Bureau of Prisons Recruitment Flyer, page 1; the Bureau of Prisons Pre-Employment Guide, page 2; OPM's Public Trust Positions, page 1; National Background Investigation Bureau Resources, page 11, section 6 Fingerprint Submissions; two internal Bureau of Prisons memorandums detailing procedures for information sharing and the Declaration for Federal Employment optional form 306.

All employees who have contact with inmates have had a full field background investigation in addition to fingerprinting and inquiry into the FBI's NCIC. Employee backgrounds are re-checked every five years. Contractors and volunteers who have regular contact with inmates also have criminal background checks completed before having contact with inmates. Volunteer and contractor background checks are repeated yearly.

The facility does not hire or promote anyone who may have contact with inmates and does not enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in any type of sexual abuse/harassment. Employees must disclose such misconduct, and material omissions regarding such misconduct would be grounds for termination. Any applicant's submission of false information is grounds for not hiring the applicant. The Human Resource Manager was interviewed and confirmed that the agency attempts to contact prior employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or resignations which occurred during a pending investigation of sexual abuse. The Human Resource Manager also confirmed that the agency, not the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford Human Resource Department, provides information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work. The agency, not the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford Human Resource Department, notifies appropriate licensing/certifying agencies when professional staff is terminated for substantiated sexual abuse or harassment allegations.

Program Statement 3420.11, Standards of Employee Conduct, pages 6-8, and Program Statement 3000.03, Human Resource Management Manual, Chapter 3, page 8, and Chapter 7, pages 41-45. The Bureau of Prisons Recruitment Flyer, page 1; the Bureau of Prisons Pre-Employment Guide, page 2; OPM's Public Trust Positions, page 1; National Background Investigation Bureau Resources, page 11, section 6 Fingerprint Submissions; and the Declaration for Federal Employment optional form 306; addresses 115.17(a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) and (g).

Two internal Bureau of Prisons memorandums detailing procedures for information sharing address 115.17(h).

In view of the above, the auditor finds the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford substantially compliant with 115.17.

Standard 115.18: Upgrades to facilities and technologies

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.18 (a

1

• If the agency designed or acquired any new facility or planned any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (if agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing facilities since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.) □ Yes □ No ⋈ NA	١,
15.18 (b)	
• If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installe updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.) □ Yes □ No ⋈ NA	е
uditor Overall Compliance Determination	
☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)	
Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)	
□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)	
nstructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative	

In

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Interviews conducted with:

Agency Head

Warden

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program states when designing or acquiring any new facility and in planning any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, the agency shall consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse.

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program states when installing or updating a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology; the agency shall consider how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse.

During the on-site tour of the facility, the Auditor observed convex mirrors and security cameras in housing areas, food service, and throughout the compound.

The Agency Head stated that the Bureau of Prisons considers how modifications or expansions to a facility affect the ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse. The Agency Head stated that the PREA guidelines are considered in new facility designs. He further indicated technology upgrades enhance the Bureau's ability to protect against sexual abuse. Further, according to the Agency Head, all substantiated and unsubstantiated cases of inmate sexual abuse are reviewed to determine if modifications to design or the addition or upgrade of technology would help prevent a similar occurrence.

Additionally, the Agency Head explained that incident reviews are ongoing. Each facility examines the need for upgrades or additions to existing technology when sexual abuse occurs. Finally, the Agency Head indicated that video surveillance serves as a deterrent and allows the facility investigators to identify unreported victims and perpetrators of sexually abusive behavior.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor interviewed the Warden. During the interview, the Warden confirmed that when planning any expansion or modification of existing facilities, the facility considers the effect of the design, expansion, or modification on the facility's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse. The Warden further indicated that video and surveillance technology is focused on areas where inmates are housed, work, and program, to enhance their protection from sexual abuse. Consideration is also given to camera placement to ensure the inmates' right to privacy when showering and changing clothes.

Upon reviewing the Program Statement and upon completion of the interviews conducted with the Agency Head and the Warden, the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford demonstrated facility-wide practices consistent with policy and the requirements of the PREA standard. The facility has had no substantial expansions or modifications since August 20, 2012. The facility has not updated the video monitoring system in the previous 12 months.

The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford has convex mirrors strategically placed in several areas throughout the facility to enhance monitoring. A total of 192 cameras are utilized to provide video coverage of the facility in all critical areas. The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford's video coverage is substantial.

The Institution PREA Compliance Manager indicated the importance of utilizing technology to enhance the protection of inmates from incidents of sexual abuse.

The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford is compliant with 115.18.

RESPONSIVE PLANNING

Standard 115.21: Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.21	(a)
•	If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No $\ \square$ NA
115.21	(b)
•	Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents," or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
115.21	(c)
•	Does the agency offer all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs? ⊠ Yes □ No
115.21	(d)
	Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis

center? ⊠ Yes □ No

Instru	ctions f	or Overall Compliance Determination Narrative
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
Audito	r Overa	all Compliance Determination
•	If the a member to server issues	gency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff er for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness e in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination in general? (N/A if agency <i>always</i> makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center let to victims.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
115.21	(h)	
		is not required to audit this provision.
115.21	(g)	
•	agency through	gency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the requested that the investigating agency follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal AND strative sexual abuse investigations.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
115.21	(f)	
•		uested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, ation, and referrals? $oxtimes$ Yes \oxtimes No
•	qualifie	uested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or ed community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim in the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews? Yes No
115.21	(e)	
•		e agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers? \square No
•	make a	available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based ration, or a qualified agency staff member? (N/A if the agency always makes a victimate from a rape crisis center available to victims.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does

not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Program Statement 6031.04, Patient Care

One Source First Responder Reference Guide-Sexual Assault Crisis Intervention MOU Between Federal Bureau of Investigation and the Federal Bureau of Prisons

MOU Between the Office of the Inspector General and the Federal Bureau of Prisons

MOU Between The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford and the Hope House of South Central Wisconsin

Interviews conducted with:
Institution PREA Compliance Manager
Health Services staff
Special Investigative Agent

In order to make a determination of compliance, the following policies and documentation were reviewed: Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 22-23 and 51; Program Statement 6031.04, pages 47-48; One Source First Responder Reference Guide-Sexual Assault Crisis Intervention; MOU between the Federal Bureau of Investigation and the Federal Bureau of Prisons; MOU between the Office of the Inspector General and the Federal Bureau of Prisons and the information available concerning the Hope House of South Central Wisconsin. This outside advocacy group is available to the inmate population if an inmate would like to seek emotional support services about PREA-related incidents.

The auditor's review of the two MOUs with the Office of the Inspector General and the Federal Bureau of Investigation indicates both entities follow a uniform evidence protocol based on the DOJ's Office on Violence Against Women and the National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations. Interviews with correctional and health services personnel confirmed that they were all knowledgeable of the required procedures for obtaining, preserving, and securing physical evidence, when sexual abuse is alleged. Staff members were aware the Special Investigative Services (SIS), the Office of Internal Affairs (OIA), the Office of the Inspector General (OIG), or the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) conducted investigations relative to sexual abuse/harassment allegations.

The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford SIS is responsible for conducting administrative sexual abuse investigations. The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford SIS handles all the administrative investigations regarding PREA allegations. Criminal investigations are conducted by either the OIG or the FBI.

In the event of an incident, all victims are provided access to forensic medical examinations at Aspirus Divine Savior Hospital in Portage or Meriter Hospital in Madison, Wisconsin. According to the Bureau of Prisons procedures, all inmates who experience sexual abuse have access to forensic medical examinations at an outside facility without financial cost. Victims of sexual assault are referred to the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford health services for initial examination and treatment. Such treatment would be for stabilization only, and the victim would be transported to the hospital for examination, treatment, and forensic evidence gathering by a Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE). The Aspirus Hospital sexual assault treatment program is staffed by registered nurses with advanced education and instruction in medical forensic examination and psychological and emotional trauma. SANE nurses provide emotional support, physical examination and wellness check, collection of medical-forensic evidence, assistance with reporting the crime, assistance with concerns about

sexually transmitted infection and pregnancy, and post-assault medication administration. The Institution PREA Compliance Manager reports no incidents at the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford have required a forensic medical exam in the past 12 months.

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 22-23; Program Statement 6031.04, Patient Care, pages 47-48 and the First Responder Reference Guide – Sexual Assault Crisis Intervention, addresses 115.21(a) and (b).

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, page 51, and the information provided by Aspirus Hospital address 115.21(c) (d) and e).

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, page 22, and the MOUs between the FBI, the OIG, and the Federal Bureau of Prisons address 115.21(f).

Based on the policy review, a review of the MOUs, a review of the First Responder Reference Guide, interviews with the PREA Coordinator, medical staff, and correctional staff, the Auditor has determined the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford substantially complies with this standard.

Standard 115.22: Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.22 (a)

- Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse?
 \[
 \sumsymbol{\text{Yes}} \quad \text{No}
 \]
 \[
 \text{Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment?
 \[
 \sumsymbol{\text{Yes}} \quad \text{No}
 \]
 \[
 \text{115.22 (b)}
 \]
 - Does the agency have a policy and practice in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior? ⊠ Yes □ No
 - Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means?

 Yes □ No
 - Does the agency document all such referrals?

 Yes

 No

115.22 (c)

If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does the policy describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for criminal investigations. See 115.21(a).) ⋈ Yes □ No □ NA

115.22 (d)

Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

115.22 (e)

Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Program Statement 5508.02, Hostage Situations or Criminal Actions Requiring FBI Presence Program Statement 1350.01, Criminal Matter Referrals

MOU Between Federal Bureau of Investigation and the Federal Bureau of Prisons MOU Between the Office of the Inspector General and the Federal Bureau of Prisons Interviews conducted with:

Institution PREA Compliance Manager Special Investigative Services (SIS) Lieutenant

In order to make a determination of compliance, the following policies were reviewed: Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 43-45. Program Statement 5508.02, Hostage Situations or Criminal Actions Requiring FBI Presence, pages 1-2, and attachment A, pages 1-4. Program Statement 1350.01, Criminal Matter Referrals, pages 1-2. Additionally, the auditor reviewed the MOU between the Federal Bureau of Prisons and the FBI and the OIG.

In order to determine compliance, interviews were conducted with the Institution PREA Compliance Manager and the Special Investigative Services (SIS) Lieutenant.

To determine compliance, the auditor observed signs in both English and Spanish, informing inmates about how to report an incident of sexual assault or sexual harassment.

The following describes how the evidence above was used to conclude compliance. The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford ensures that administrative and criminal investigations are completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Appropriate procedures are in place to ensure

the investigation will be completed. The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford has systems that require investigations by proper Law Enforcement Agencies, and staff at the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford have been trained to conduct administrative investigations involving sexual abuse or harassment. If an administrative investigation potentially involves criminal behavior, the investigation is referred to the FBI or the OIG. Both agencies follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining good physical evidence for criminal prosecutions and administrative proceedings, which occur after the criminal process is completed. The FBI would conduct criminal investigations for the facility involving inmate on inmate sexual abuse, and the OIG would investigate staff on inmate criminal sexual abuse. An investigation would never be terminated due to an inmate being transferred or released. The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford Special Investigative Services (SIS) Lieutenant is responsible for conducting administrative sexual abuse investigations.

The agency documents all referrals. The Bureau of Prisons Web site provides information concerning PREA, and the PREA Policy is posted on the Web site. The information presented indicates an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. All claims of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation.

In the past 12 months, there have been four administrative investigations for sexual abuse and zero criminal investigations for sexual abuse.

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 43-45 and Program Statement 5508.02, Hostage Situations or Criminal Actions Requiring FBI Presence, pages 1-2 and attachment A, pages 1-4, address 115.22(a).

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 43-45, and the FBI and OIG MOUs address 115.22(b).

Based on a review of the policy and interviews with the Institution PREA Compliance Manager, and the Special Investigative Services (SIS) Lieutenant, the Auditor concludes there is substantial compliance with standard 115.22.

TRAINING AND EDUCATION

Standard 115.31: Employee training

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

1	1	5	2	1	- 1	(a)
		J				a

•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on its zero-tolerance
	policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment? $oximes$ Yes $oximes$ No

- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures?

 ☑ Yes □ No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on inmates' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment ⊠ Yes □ No

•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the right of inmates and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☑ Yes □ No
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to communicate effectively and professionally with inmates, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming inmates? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.31	l (b)
•	Is such training tailored to the gender of the inmates at the employee's facility? $oximes$ Yes \odots No
•	Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male inmates to a facility that houses only female inmates, or vice versa? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.31	(c)
•	Have all current employees who may have contact with inmates received such training? $\hfill \boxtimes$ Yes $\hfill \square$ No
•	Does the agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that all employees know the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	In years in which an employee does not receive refresher training, does the agency provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.31	l (d)
•	Does the agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Institution Supplement 5324.12C, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program PREA PowerPoint presentation Course Completion records.

Ten Employee Training records
Two Contractor Training records
Interviews conducted with:
Random staff

In order to make a determination of compliance, the following policy and other documentation were reviewed: Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 13, 14, 24, 25, and 26 and Institution Supplement 5324.12C, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 5-6. The auditor reviewed the PREA PowerPoint presentation and the Course Completion records. Staff must acknowledge in writing their understanding of the PREA training. Finally, the auditor reviewed ten (10) Employee Training records and two Contractor training records.

In order to determine compliance, interviews were conducted with 13 random staff.

To make a determination of compliance, the auditor observed several informational signs throughout the facility detailing how to make a report of sexual assault or sexual harassment. In addition, the auditor saw staff announcing their presence before entering a housing unit. Finally, the auditor interviewed staff to determine their knowledge and understanding of their training.

The following describes how the evidence above was used to conclude compliance. The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford trains employees on zero tolerance and an employee's responsibilities to prevent, detect, report, and respond to sexual abuse and harassment incidents. Employees are informed of the inmates' right to be free from sexual abuse and retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and harassment incidents. Employees are trained on the dynamics of sexual abuse in confinement, the reactions of victims, and how to detect sexual abuse. Employees receive training on standards of conduct, inappropriate relationships with inmates, and communication with all inmates effectively. In addition, mandatory reporting laws are reviewed. The training is tailored to the inmates at The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford. The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford houses male inmates. All

employees have been trained, they are trained annually, and the auditor confirmed the employees' training records. All staff interviewed confirmed their participation in PREA training and their knowledge of the training curriculum.

Employees are aware of the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and standard operating procedures. The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford documents that employees understand their training. The Auditor reviewed employee training records, and the Institution PREA Compliance Manager provided copies of training records for the employees.

The auditor reviewed ten (10) Employee Training records, and each file reviewed contained documentation on the date of hire, PREA Training Dates, and Acknowledgement documents. The training records indicate that PREA training occurred in 2020 and 2021.

Staff members who the auditor interviewed indicated a clear understanding of the Prison Rape Elimination Act. The random staff interviewed were able to recall information from the training, such as the zero tolerance for sexual assault and sexual harassment, professional and gender-specific pat search procedures, how to respond to sexual assaults and duties of the first responder. Staff members recalled how to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates, the dynamics of sexual abuse in prison, and how to detect signs of sexual abuse. All employees have been trained, and the auditor confirmed the employees' training records. All staff interviewed confirmed their participation in PREA training and their knowledge of the training curriculum.

The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford's Institution Supplement 5324.12C, page 5, indicates that the Institution PREA Compliance Manager or designee will provide sexual abuse/harassment prevention training. This training will be conducted during Annual Refresher Training. Additionally, the Institution PREA Compliance Manager will train new staff during Institution Familiarization to acquaint new staff on Zero Tolerance issues related to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. This procedure ensures that all new employees are provided PREA training before their contact with inmates.

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 13, 14, 24, 25, and 26 and Institution Supplement 5324.12C, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, 5-6, address 115.31(a).

The PREA PowerPoint presentation and the Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Annual Training 2021 Instructor Notes (Curriculum) addresses 115.31(b).

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, page 25, addresses 115.31(c)

Training Records with signatures, including the "I understand caveat," address 115.31(d).

The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford complies with this standard.

Standard 115.32: Volunteer and contractor training

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.32 (a)

•	been tr	e agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have rained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment tion, detection, and response policies and procedures? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
115.32	(b)				
•	agency how to contract	all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates been notified of the σ 's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and ctors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with s)? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
115.32	(c)				
•	Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received? \boxtimes Yes \square No				
Audito	r Overa	all Compliance Determination			
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)			
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)			
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)			
Instru	ctions f	or Overall Compliance Determination Narrative			

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Volunteer/Contractor Annual Training Curriculum for 2021 Volunteer/Contractor training forms Two Contractor Training Records Interviews conducted with: Volunteer Contractor

The following documentation was reviewed to determine compliance: Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, page 26. The auditor reviewed the Volunteer/Contractor Annual Training Curriculum for 2020. The auditor reviewed the Volunteer/Contractor training forms; participation in training is documented through signature and indicates that the volunteer/contractor understood their training. Finally, the auditor reviewed two Contractor training records.

The following describes how the evidence above was used to conclude compliance. The auditor reviewed the training material and the documentation that each contractor or volunteer must complete. The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford trains the volunteers and contractors on zero tolerance and responsibilities to prevent, detect, report, and respond to sexual abuse and harassment incidents. Volunteers and Contractors are informed of the inmates' right to be free from sexual abuse and retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and harassment incidents. Volunteers and Contractors are trained on the dynamics of sexual abuse in confinement, the reactions of victims, and how to detect sexual abuse. Standards of Conduct are reviewed as well as inappropriate relationships with inmates and how to communicate with all inmates effectively. The auditor interviewed one volunteer and two contractors. The Contractors had received training within the past 12 months. The Volunteer has not received any training since 2019. The training for Volunteers has been postponed due to Covid-19 restrictions. Each interviewee recalled information from the training, such as responsibilities for recognizing potential sexual harassment issues or sexual assault issues, their responsibility to report any concerns, inappropriate relationships, and zero tolerance.

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, page 26, and the Volunteer/Contractor Annual Training Curriculum form address 115.32(a).

The Volunteer/Contractor Annual Training Curriculum for 2021 addresses 115.32(b).

Training Records with signatures, including the "I understand caveat," addresses 115.32(c).

The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford complies with this standard.

Standard 115.33: Inmate education

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

11	5	.3	3	(a)

•	During intake, do inmates receive information explaining the agency's zero-tolerance policy
	regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ⊠ Yes □ No

•	During intake, do inmates receive information	n explaining	how to	report incident	ts or suspicions o	f
	sexual abuse or sexual harassment? ⊠ Yes	□ No				

115.33 (b)

•	Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in
	person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual
	harassment? ⊠ Yes □ No

- Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents?

 Yes □ No
- Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents?

 Yes □ No

115.33	s (C)	
•	Have a	all inmates received the comprehensive education referenced in 115.33(b)? $oximes$ Yes \odots
•	and pr	nates receive education upon transfer to a different facility to the extent that the policies rocedures of the inmate's new facility differ from those of the previous facility? \Box No
115.33	3 (d)	
•		the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those re limited English proficient? $oximes$ Yes \oximes No
•		the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those re deaf? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
•		the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those re visually impaired? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
•		the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those re otherwise disabled? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
•		the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those ave limited reading skills? $oximes$ Yes \oximes No
115.33	8 (e)	
•		the agency maintain documentation of inmate participation in these education sessions? \Box No
115.33	3 (f)	
•	continu	ition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is uously and readily available or visible to inmates through posters, inmate handbooks, or written formats? \boxtimes Yes \square No
Audito	or Over	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Program Statement 5290.14. Admission and Orientation Program

Pamphlet entitled Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and intervention, Overview for Offenders Inmate Handbook

Admission & Orientation signature sheets

Receiving and Discharge form signed by inmates acknowledging receipt of the handbook Orientation Acknowledgement

20 inmate files documenting admission dates, orientation dates, and comprehensive education dates Interviews conducted with:

Intake staff

Staff who conduct Risk Assessments and inmates.

In order to make a determination of compliance, the following policies and other documentation were reviewed: Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 26 and 27, Program Statement 5290.14, Admission and Orientation Program, page 10, The pamphlet entitled Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and intervention, Overview for Offenders, which is available in both English and Spanish. The auditor reviewed the Inmate Handbook, the Receiving and Discharge form signed by inmates acknowledging receipt of the handbook, and the Orientation Acknowledgement signed by inmates. The auditor reviewed 20 inmate files documenting admission dates, orientation dates, and comprehensive education dates.

In order to make a determination of compliance, interviews were conducted with intake staff, staff who conduct Risk Assessments, and inmates.

In order to make a determination of compliance, the following observations were made during the onsite tour of the facility: Each of the 11 housing units had signs providing information to inmates concerning how to report an incident of sexual harassment and sexual abuse. The signs (in English and Spanish) indicated a Zero Tolerance for sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The signs also indicated the procedure to contact the Officer of Inspector General either via general mail or via email. The signs further indicated inmates could report directly to staff via mail or email, including the Special Investigative Services (SIS) Lieutenant. There was information concerning third-party reporting and the BOP website concerning third-party reporting. There was posted information concerning the Emotional Support Services (Hope House of South Central Wisconsin), a community-based organization that provides counseling services to victims of sexual trauma. The phone number and mailing address for this organization were provided. The Auditor observed signage in the following Units: Special Housing Unit, Camp, Columbia, Dane, Juneau, Marquette, Portage, Sauk, Waupaca, Waushara, and Wood. Additionally, signs were viewed in the following areas, Visiting Room, UNICOR, Warehouse, Safety, Religious Services, Recreation, Psychology, Mechanical Services, Front Lobby, Health Services, Control, Education East, Education West, Food Service, Commissary and Laundry. The information is visible and readily available.

The following evidence was used to conclude compliance. A staff member conducts an education program regarding the PREA for all inmates within 30 days of their arrival at the facility. The program

includes definitions of sexually abusive behavior and sexual harassment, prevention strategies, and reporting methods. Inmates also view a comprehensive orientation video that explains the facility's zero-tolerance policy and covers the inmate's right to be free from sexual abuse, sexual harassment, and retaliation. Inmates also have access to TRULINCS, a computer program that provides PREA information and a reporting outlet. Staff interpreters and telephonic translation services are available to inmates who are not proficient in English. Staff members conduct periodic group meetings with inmates in the housing units to address issues. During these meetings, PREA information is exchanged with the unit populations. Random inmate interviews confirmed that inmates receive PREA information, and inmates were aware of multiple reporting methods, including anonymous and third-party reporting.

Inmates receive information on zero tolerance, how to report sexual abuse and harassment incidents, their right to be free from sexual abuse and harassment, and to be free from retaliation for reporting incidents. In addition, inmates are informed about how the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford will respond to such events. (Inmate Handbook)

Intake Staff interviews verify that inmates receive PREA information. Inmates are provided a handbook that includes information concerning Zero Tolerance and how to report sexual abuse and harassment. The auditor confirmed that all inmates receive this information. Interviews with inmates also confirm that the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford Staff provides information on reporting incidents of sexual abuse. The facility documents the receipt of this information. Interpretation services are offered to inmates who may not understand the presented material. Inmate education is provided in formats accessible to all inmates, including limited English proficiency, deaf, visually impaired, or otherwise disabled, and inmates who have limited reading skills.

The Auditor also reviewed a random sample of inmate files, and each file reviewed contained documentation to support an inmate's initial intake and the information concerning PREA that was provided during intake and information concerning the inmate's participation in the comprehensive PREA education. During the random inmate interviews, inmates indicated they had received a handbook and information concerning PREA.

The auditor's review of 17 Admission and Orientation Education forms reveals that inmates received comprehensive PREA Education. The inmate signs and dates the form, affirming receipt and understanding of the training.

The Institution PREA Compliance Manager reports all inmates received within the last 12 months have been educated within 30 days of Intake.

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 26 and 27 and Program Statement 5290.14, Admission and Orientation Program, page addresses 115.33(a) (b) (c) and (d).

Admission and Orientation Education Form Acknowledgement form addresses 115.33(e).

The auditor's observation of posters in housing units, receiving and discharge, and program/operational areas address 115.33(f)

In view of the above, the auditor finds the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford substantially complies with 115.33.

Standard 115.34: Specialized training: Investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.34	(a)	
•	agency investig the age	tion to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.31, does the γ ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its gators receive training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if ency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. 15.21(a).) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
115.34	(b)	
•	the age	his specialized training include techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims? (N/A if ency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. $ 5.21(a).\rangle$ Yes \square No \square NA
•	agency	his specialized training include proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings? (N/A if the γ does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. 5.21(a).) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	(N/A if	his specialized training include sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings? the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse gations. See 115.21(a).) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	for adn	his specialized training include the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case ninistrative action or prosecution referral? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form inistrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) \square NO \square NA
115.34	(c)	
•	require not cor	he agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the ed specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? (N/A if the agency does not
115.34	(d)	
•	Audito	r is not required to audit this provision.
Audito	or Overa	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

Li Dues not wieet Standard (Acquires Corrective Action		Does Not Meet Standard	(Requires Corrective Action
--	--	-------------------------------	-----------------------------

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Course Completion document for Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA), Investigating Sexual Abuse in a Confinement Setting

Interviews conducted with:

Special Investigative Services (SIS) Lieutenant

In order to make a determination of compliance, the following policy was reviewed: Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, page 28. The auditor reviewed a Course Completion document for Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA), Investigating Sexual Abuse in a Confinement Setting. The auditor notes the curriculum for Investigating Sexual Abuse in Confinement Settings is designed to address the requirements outlined in the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) standard 115.34, requiring specialized training for individuals tasked with investigating alleged incidents of sexual abuse in confinement settings. Additionally, this curriculum contains the information fundamental to understanding the concepts required by PREA standard 115.34.

An interview was conducted with the Special Investigative Services (SIS) Lieutenant for the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford to determine compliance.

The following describes how the evidence above was used to conclude compliance. The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford conducts administrative investigations involving sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The investigator has received training in conducting investigations in confinement settings. The training title is "Investigating Sexual Abuse in a Confinement Setting." That training includes the proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings, evidence collection, and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or criminal referral. The auditor reviewed the training certificate and interviewed the Investigator. The Investigator is aware of his responsibilities during an investigation; he indicated that the investigation would begin immediately upon notification of an allegation. Any allegation that potentially involved criminal behavior would require either the FBI's or the OIG's involvement. The training he took covered all areas of the investigative process, interviewing techniques, evidence collection, evidence protection, victim advocacy, securing and processing the scene for evidence, securing all evidence maintaining the integrity of the evidence and seeing to the needs of the victim, providing advocacy support from the Mental Health Staff. The investigator stated he would review memorandums, collect as much data as possible, and write a report. The training reviewed good interpersonal communication skills with inmate victims, assailants, and witnesses, understanding the dynamics of inmate sexual violence, and establishing good working relationships with outside agencies, hospitals, prosecutors, and other investigators.

The investigator noted that Anonymous or third-party reports would be thoroughly investigated as soon as possible. A third party or an anonymous tip would be treated the same as a direct report. The investigator indicated that if the incident were criminal in nature, he would not collect specific physical and DNA evidence, he would aid the investigating agency by providing victim and perpetrator information such as any pertinent central file information, information concerning prior complaints and reports of previous sexual abuse and he would provide any information on prior investigations involving either the victim or the perpetrator.

The NIC Course taken by the Investigator provides techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims, proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings, and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral. In addition to the NIC Course, the investigator participated in Special Investigative Services – Special Investigative Agent (SIS-SIA) Training. This training course provides specific information concerning the role of the investigator and what steps are involved in criminal or administrative investigations. Additionally, the course provides training on interviewing techniques, documenting evidence, and the appropriate use of the Garrity warning (BUREAU OF PRISONS Form BP-A0194). The Auditor reviewed the Powerpoint presentation utilized by the Bureau of Prisons Office of Internal Affairs. This training also provides guidance on interviewing techniques and potential Union representation issues.

In addition to the Investigator interview, the auditor was provided documentation to substantiate the training for the investigator. The agency provided information concerning OIG and FBI investigators' training. The documentation indicated the OIG and the FBI staff had received PREA specialized training through the Department of Justice. Administrative investigations are conducted by trained investigators who are full-time employees of the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford. When criminal investigations are indicated, they are conducted by the FBI or the OIG.

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, page 28, addresses 115.34(a).

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, page 28, and the investigator's training certificates indicating completion of the training courses "Investigating Sexual Abuse in a Confinement Setting" and SIS- SIA Training, addresses 115.34 (b and c).

Based on the review of the training record, the investigator interview, Program Statement 5324.12, and the training curriculum, the auditor determines there is substantial compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.35: Specialized training: Medical and mental health care

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

1	1	5	3	5	(a)
		J		•	ıaı

■ Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA

•	who we sexual	he agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners ork regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to preserve physical evidence of abuse? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health ractitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	who we profess have a	the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners ork regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to respond effectively and sionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its es.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	who we suspic or part	he agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners ork regularly in its facilities have been trained in how and to whom to report allegations or ions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) \square No \square NA
115.35	5 (b)	
•	receive facility	ical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff e appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency medical staff at the do not conduct forensic exams or the agency does not employ medical staff.) \Box No \Box NA
115.35	5 (c)	
•	receive the age	he agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have ed the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? (N/A if ency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who egularly in its facilities.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
115.35	5 (d)	
•	manda medica	edical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training ated for employees by §115.31? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time all or mental health care practitioners employed by the agency.) \square No \square NA
•	also re does n	edical and mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency eceive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.32? (N/A if the agency not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners contracted by or eering for the agency.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
Audito	or Over	all Compliance Determination
	_	
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
--	---

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Curriculum for specialized training for the medical and mental health staff Training records for medical and mental health staff (Course Completion records for "PREA for Medical and Mental Health Care" – BOP CPG-0233-BXX) Interviews conducted with Health Services Administrator Nursing staff Psychology staff

The following policy was reviewed to determine compliance: Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 28 – 29. Additionally, the auditor reviewed the specialized training for the medical and mental health staff (BOP CPG-0233-BXX). This curriculum included victim identification, interviewing, reporting, and required clinical interventions. Further review of training records confirmed that all mental health and medical staff received specialized training.

Interviews were conducted with Mental Health Staff, the Health Services Administrator, and medical staff to determine compliance.

The following describes how the evidence above was used to conclude compliance. The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford provides PREA training to the medical and mental health practitioners who work in the facility. The training includes detecting signs of sexual abuse/harassment, preventing the destruction of evidence, responding to victims, and reporting allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Facility medical staff do not conduct forensic examinations. Additionally, medical and mental health staff receive training on how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse, how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment and how and to whom to report allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The auditor confirmed that training was provided to the Medical and Mental Health staff. Interviews with the Mental Health staff and Medical staff confirmed the practice. The Health Services Administrator indicated the agency provides training on PREA and, more specifically, responding to incidents of sexual assault. During the interview, the Health Services Administrator clearly understood the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford Zero Tolerance policy and appropriate protocols for dealing with sexual assault and sexual harassment incidents.

Mental Health Staff members have received specialized training in detecting signs of sexual abuse and how to respond effectively to victims of sexual abuse.

All Mental Health staff and Medical Staff participate in annual training provided by the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford, covering the PREA in detail.

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 28 –29, address 115.35(a).

The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford medical staff does not conduct Forensic Evaluations, as noted in the Pre Audit Questionnaire and by the Health Services Administrator. (addresses 115.35(b)

A review of training records confirmed that all mental health and medical staff received specialized training addresses 115.35(c).

Based on the interviews conducted with medical and mental health staff and the documentation concerning the training they had received from the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford, there is compliance with this standard.

SCREENING FOR RISK OF SEXUAL VICTIMIZATION AND ABUSIVENESS

Standard 115.41: Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.41	(a)
•	Are all inmates assessed during an intake screening for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Are all inmates assessed upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.41	(b)
	Do intake screenings ordinarily take place within 72 hours of arrival at the facility? $\ \ \boxtimes$ Yes $\ \ \Box$ No
115.41	(c)
•	Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective screening instrument? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
115.41	(d)

Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (1) Whether the inmate has a mental, physical, or developmental

disability? ⊠ Yes □ No

•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (2) The age of the inmate? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (3) The physical build of the inmate? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (4) Whether the inmate has previously been incarcerated? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (5) Whether the inmate's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (6) Whether the inmate has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (7) Whether the inmate is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming (the facility affirmatively asks the inmate about his/her sexual orientation and gender identity AND makes a subjective determination based on the screener's perception whether the inmate is gender non-conforming or otherwise may be perceived to be LGBTI)? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (8) Whether the inmate has previously experienced sexual victimization? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (9) The inmate's own perception of vulnerability? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (10) Whether the inmate is detained solely for civil immigration purposes? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.41	(e)
•	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency, prior acts of sexual abuse? ⊠ Yes □ No
•	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency, prior convictions for violent offenses? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency, history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No

 Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a referral?	•	facility	a set time period not more than 30 days from the inmate's arrival at the facility, does the reassess the inmate's risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, at information received by the facility since the intake screening? \boxtimes Yes \square No
 ☑ Yes ☐ No Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a request? ☑ Yes ☐ No Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to an incident of sexual abuse? ☑ Yes ☐ No Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to receipt of additional information that bears on the inmate's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness? ☑ Yes ☐ No Is it the case that inmates are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1), (d)(7), (d)(8), or (d)(9) of this section? ☑ Yes ☐ No Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the inmate's detriment by staff or other inmates? ☑ Yes ☐ No Auditor Overall Compliance Determination ☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantial ompliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) 	115.41	(g)	
 Yes □ No Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to an incident of sexual abuse? ☑ Yes □ No Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to receipt of additional information that bears on the inmate's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness? ☑ Yes □ No 115.41 (h) Is it the case that inmates are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1), (d)(7), (d)(8), or (d)(9) of this section? ☑ Yes □ No 115.41 (i) Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the inmate's detriment by staff or other inmates? ☑ Yes □ No Auditor Overall Compliance Determination □ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards) ☑ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) 	•		·
abuse? ☑ Yes ☐ No Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to receipt of additional information that bears on the inmate's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness? ☑ Yes ☐ No 115.41 (h) Is it the case that inmates are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1), (d)(7), (d)(8), or (d)(9) of this section? ☑ Yes ☐ No 115.41 (i) Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the inmate's detriment by staff or other inmates? ☑ Yes ☐ No Auditor Overall Compliance Determination ☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards) ☑ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)	•		·
information that bears on the inmate's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness? ☑ Yes ☐ No 115.41 (h) Is it the case that inmates are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1), (d)(7), (d)(8), or (d)(9) of this section? ☑ Yes ☐ No 115.41 (i) Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the inmate's detriment by staff or other inmates? ☑ Yes ☐ No Auditor Overall Compliance Determination ☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards) ☑ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)	•		
 Is it the case that inmates are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1), (d)(7), (d)(8), or (d)(9) of this section? ⋈ Yes □ No 115.41 (i) Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the inmate's detriment by staff or other inmates? ⋈ Yes □ No Auditor Overall Compliance Determination □ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards) ⋈ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) 	•	informa	ation that bears on the inmate's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness?
complete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1), (d)(7), (d)(8), or (d)(9) of this section? ☑ Yes ☐ No 115.41 (i) Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the inmate's detriment by staff or other inmates? ☑ Yes ☐ No Auditor Overall Compliance Determination ☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards) ☑ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)	115.41	(h)	
 Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the inmate's detriment by staff or other inmates? ⋈ Yes □ No Auditor Overall Compliance Determination □ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards) ⋈ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) 	•	comple	ete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1), (d)(7),
responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the inmate's detriment by staff or other inmates? ⊠ Yes □ No Auditor Overall Compliance Determination □ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards) ⊠ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)	115.41	(i)	
 Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards) Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) 	•	respon	ses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive
Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)	Auditor Overall Compliance Determination		
standard for the relevant review period)			Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)		\boxtimes	
			Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

115.41 (f)

Documents:

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Program Statement 5290.15, Intake Screening

Institution Supplement 5324.12C, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Intake Screening Form

PREA Intake Objective Screening Instrument

Psychology Services Risk of Sexual Victimization form

20 Inmate files

Interviews conducted with:

Intake staff

Staff who conduct screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness

Random inmate interviews

Inmates who reported incidents of sexual abuse/harassment

In order to determine compliance, the following policies were reviewed: Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 28 –29, and Program Statement 5290.15, Intake Screening, pages 2-6. The Intake Screening Form, the PREA Intake Objective Screening Instrument, and the Psychology Services Risk of Sexual Victimization form were reviewed.

In order to determine compliance, interviews were conducted with staff who conduct screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness. In addition, interviews were conducted with inmates.

In order to determine compliance, the Auditor observed the intake area and was provided a thorough explanation of the intake process with a mock demonstration. Initial, medical, and psychological screening is conducted behind closed doors in separate offices. Staff asks questions concerning the risk for sexual abuse or predatory behavior behind closed doors. Inmates at risk for sexual abuse or predatory behavior are referred to Psychology services.

The following describes how the evidence above was used to conclude compliance. All inmates are provided; Risk Assessments upon intake; these assessments are done almost immediately. The intake interview is conducted in a private area by a staff member who has been trained in intake screening. The interviewer reviews SENTRY information (Bureau of Prisons Inmate Database System) and the Inmate Central File or Presentence Investigation Report (PSI), if available, and decides whether the inmate is suitable for placement in the general population. During the intake screening process, the interviewer reviews the PSI and the Inmate Central File for any documentation indicating the inmate has a history of sexually aggressive behavior or has recently been the victim of a sexual assault. The assessment includes a determination of the inmates' mental health and physical health, the age of the inmate, the physical build of the inmate, previous incarcerations, criminal history, prior sex offenses, whether the inmate is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex or gender nonconforming, prior sexual victimization and the inmate's perception of their vulnerability. Inmates are reassessed within 30 days or if additional information is received. All information gathered during intake is shared with only those staff that need to know. Sensitive information is not shared unnecessarily. Interviews with Intake officers confirmed the use of the assessment tools and the confidentiality of the information. In addition, inmate interviews indicated the use of the assessment tools.

Interviews with Intake Officers confirmed the use of the assessment tool. The Intake Officers noted the need to provide safe housing and program resources that ensured a safe environment for all inmates.

The Auditor reviewed the files of several inmates. The files contained the appropriate Intake forms and Assessment forms; they were signed and dated by both staff and inmates.

The auditor interviewed two staff members who conduct risk assessments. The auditor notes that inmates identified as vulnerable to sexual abuse or harassment or at high risk of being sexually abusive are referred to Psychology Services for appropriate follow-up and/or assessment, as noted in Program Statement 5290.15, Intake Screening, page 3. The Intake Form is forwarded to the Psychology Services Department to ensure further mental health screening and evaluation are completed. The auditor reviewed the Psychology Services Risk of Sexual Victimization form. Each of the staff members interviewed was aware of their responsibilities in conducting risk assessments. The Psychologist indicated she conducts face-to-face interviews, and she considers all aspects, including suicide, mental health, drug issues, sexual assault victimization, gang activity, physical build, verbal and social skills, special needs, and safety.

Random inmate interviewees assert when they first came to the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford, they were asked questions like whether they have ever been sexually abused, whether they identify as being lesbian/gay/bisexual/transgender (LGBTI), whether they have any disabilities, and whether they think they might be in danger of sexual abuse at the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford. Interviewees were asked these questions during intake and their follow-up interviews.

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 29-35; Institution Supplement 5324.12C, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, page 3 and Program Statement 5290.15, Intake Screening, pages 2-4 addresses the requirements of 115.41(a) (b) and (c)

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, page31, the Intake Screening Form, the PREA Intake Objective Screening Instrument, and the Psychology Services Risk of Sexual Victimization form address the requirements of 115.41(d) and (e).

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, page 32, addresses the requirements of 115.41(f) (g) (h) and (i).

Based on a review of the intake process, a review of the risk assessment forms, and interviews with Intake staff, Mental Health specialists, and inmates, there is substantial compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.42: Use of screening information

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.42 (a
----------	---

•	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of
	keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk
	of being sexually abusive, to inform: Housing Assignments? ⊠ Yes □ No

•	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of
	keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk
	of being sexually abusive, to inform: Bed assignments? ⊠ Yes □ No

•	keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Work Assignments? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Education Assignments? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Program Assignments? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.42	(b)
•	Does the agency make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each inmate? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.42	(c)
•	When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex inmate to a facility for male or female inmates, does the agency consider, on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns inmates to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex inmates, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.42	(d)
•	Are placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex inmate reassessed at least twice each year to review any threats to safety experienced by the inmate? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.42	(e)
•	Are each transgender or intersex inmate's own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.42	(f)
	Are transgender and intersex inmates given the opportunity to shower separately from other inmates? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
115.42	(g)

conse bisex lesbia such the p	ent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, tual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: an, gay, and bisexual inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for lacement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal ement.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
conse bisex trans ident place	as placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a cent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, tual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: gender inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such ification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the ement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal ement.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
conso bisex inters or sta LGB	ss placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a cent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, cual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: sex inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification atus? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of T or I inmates pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)
Auditor Ove	erall Compliance Determination
	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
Instructions	s for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

Ins

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Institution Supplement, 5324.12(A), Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Program Statement 5200.08, Transgender Offender Manual Interviews conducted with:

Institution PREA Compliance Manager Staff who conduct risk assessments LGBTQI inmate interviews

In order to determine compliance, the following policies were reviewed: Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program page33; Institution Supplement, 5324.12C, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program pages 3-5, and Program Statement 5200.08, Transgender Offender Manual, pages 5-8.

In order to make a determination of compliance, interviews were conducted with the Institution PREA Compliance Manager and staff who conduct risk assessments.

In order to make a determination of compliance, the auditor observed inmates meeting with Mental Health staff, Medical Staff, and Case Management during the time of the on-site visit.

The following describes how the evidence above was used to conclude compliance. The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford utilizes the intake information to make decisions on housing assignments. The needs of each inmate are taken into consideration. The Institution PREA Compliance Manager stated the facility would consider a transgender or intersex inmate's views concerning safety. Transgender or intersex inmates would be involved in decisions concerning housing placement. Through a review of screening forms and inmate and staff interviews, it was determined the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford uses the screening information to determine housing, bed, work, education, and program assignment to keep inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized separate from those at high risk of being sexually abusive. The decisions are made on a case-by-case basis; various instruments and sound professional judgment are utilized to accomplish this task. Once an inmate has been identified as a victim or perpetrator or as "at-risk" for victimization or perpetration, the Unit Management teams review classification options. These options may include transfer to a special treatment program (e.g., Sex Offender Management Program), transfer to a greater or lesser security facility, application of a Public Safety Factor, such as sex offender, or changes in housing units, cell assignments, work assignments, and/or education assignments.

The Bureau of Prisons utilizes a Transgender Executive Council (TEC) to determine initial designations to Bureau facilities. The Transgender Executive Council (TEC) consists of staff members from the Health Services Division, the Women and Special Populations Branch, Psychology Services, the Correctional Programs Division, the Designation and Sentence Computation Center (DSCC), and the Office of General Counsel. The TEC conducts quarterly meetings to offer advice and guidance on unique measures related to the treatment and management needs of transgender inmates and/or inmates with Gender Dysphoria, including designation issues. The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford utilizes a multi-disciplinary approach to managing transgender inmates, ensuring transgender inmates have access to services.

Inmates who identify as transgender or gay are not housed in a dedicated housing area. Transgender or intersex inmates' views concerning their own safety are given consideration when making housing and programming assignments. Transgender and intersex inmates are allowed to shower separately from other inmates.

Interviews with Risk Assessment Staff, intake staff, and the Institution PREA Compliance Manager confirm the facility's efforts to ensure a safe living environment for all inmates; there is compliance with this standard.

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, page33, and Institution Supplement, 5324.12C, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 3-5 and Program Statement 5200.08, Transgender Offender Manual, pages 5-8, addresses the requirements of 115.42(a) (b) (c) (d) (e).

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, page33 and Program Statement 5200.08, Transgender Offender Manual, pages 5-8, addresses the requirements of 115.42(f) and (g).

Based on the above information, the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford is compliant with this standard.

Standard 115.43: Protective Custody

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

11	5	.43	(a)

	\ ~ /
	Does the facility always refrain from placing inmates at high risk for sexual victimization in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made, and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers? \boxtimes Yes \square No
	If a facility cannot conduct such an assessment immediately, does the facility hold the inmate in involuntary segregated housing for less than 24 hours while completing the assessment? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.43	(b)
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Programs to the extent possible? \boxtimes Yes \square No
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Privileges to the extent possible? \boxtimes Yes \square No
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Education to the extent possible? \boxtimes Yes \square No
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Work opportunities to the extent possible? \boxtimes Yes \square No
	If the facility restricts any access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the opportunities that have been limited? (N/A if the facility <i>never</i> restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.) \square Yes \square No \boxtimes NA
	If the facility restricts any access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the duration of the limitation? (N/A if the facility <i>never</i> restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.) \square Yes \square No \boxtimes NA
	If the facility restricts any access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the reasons for such limitations? (N/A if the facility <i>never</i> restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.) \square Yes \square No \boxtimes NA

115.43 (c)

•	housing	ne facility assign inmates at high risk of sexual victimization to involuntary segregated g only until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged?
•	Does s	uch an assignment not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days? ⊠ Yes □ No
115.43	(d)	
•	section safety?	voluntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this , does the facility clearly document the basis for the facility's concern for the inmate's \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	section	voluntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this , does the facility clearly document the reason why no alternative means of separation arranged? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.43	(e)	
•	risk of	case of each inmate who is placed in involuntary segregation because he/she is at high sexual victimization, does the facility afford a review to determine whether there is a ing need for separation from the general population EVERY 30 DAYS? \boxtimes Yes \square No
Audito	r Overa	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
Instru	ctions f	or Overall Compliance Determination Narrative
complia conclus not me	ance or i sions. Th et the st	elow must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's nis discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does andard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by specific corrective actions taken by the facility.
Progra Progra Bureau Intervie Warde Institut	m State m State m State n State of Pris ews con n on PRE	ment 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program ment 5270.11, Special Housing Units ment 3420.11, Standards of Employee Conduct ons Form BP-A1002, Safeguarding of Inmates Alleging Sexual Abuse/Assault Allegation ducted with: EA Compliance Manager ent staff

In order to determine compliance, the following policies were reviewed: Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 33-34, Program Statement 5270.11, Special Housing Units, pages 1-18, Program Statement 3420.11, Standards of Employee Conduct, page 2. Additionally, the Bureau of Prisons Form BP-A1002, Safeguarding of Inmates Alleging Sexual Abuse/Assault Allegation, was reviewed.

The Program Statement 5324.12, pages 33-34, indicates inmates at high risk for sexual victimization shall not be placed in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made and a determination has been made that there are no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers. If a facility cannot conduct such an assessment immediately, the facility may hold the inmate in involuntary segregated housing for less than 24 hours while completing the assessment. The Warden must assess and consider all appropriate alternatives for safeguarding alleged inmate victims. Placing an inmate in protective custody or transferring the inmate to another federal, state, or local correctional facility remain viable options to safeguard an inmate. However, staff must first consider other alternatives based on the circumstances of the allegations.

If inmates are placed in segregated housing involuntarily, they shall have access to programs, privileges, education, and work opportunities. If an inmate is restricted from participating in activities, staff must document the reason for the restriction and the duration of the restriction. Inmates are provided an in-person review every 30 days to determine if there is a continuing need for separation from the general population. (Program Statement 5270.11, Special Housing Units, pages 1-18)

Interviews of the Warden, Institution PREA Compliance Manager, and unit management staff indicate that no inmates at high risk for sexual victimization had been placed in involuntary segregated housing during the past 12 months.

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 33-34., Program Statement 5270.11, Special Housing Units, pages 1-18, and the Bureau of Prisons Form BP-A1002, Safeguarding of Inmates Alleging Sexual Abuse/Assault Allegation addresses the requirements of 115.43(a) (b) (c) (d) (e).

REPORTING	

Standard 115.51: Inmate reporting

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

•	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report sexual abuse and
	sexual harassment? ⊠ Yes □ No

■ Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report retaliation by other inmates or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?

✓ Yes

✓ No

■ Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents? ⊠ Yes □ No
115.51 (b)
■ Does the agency also provide at least one way for inmates to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency? ⊠ Yes □ No
Is that private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward inmate reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials? ⊠ Yes □ No
 Does that private entity or office allow the inmate to remain anonymous upon request? ☑ Yes □ No
 Are inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes provided information on how to contact relevant consular officials and relevant officials at the Department of Homeland Security? (N/A if the facility <i>never</i> houses inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
115.51 (c)
■ Does staff accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties? ⊠ Yes □ No
 Does staff promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☑ Yes □ No
115.51 (d)
■ Does the agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates? ⊠ Yes □ No
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination
Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Program Statement 3420.11, Standards of Employee Conduct

BP-A0165, Acknowledgement of Receipt of Standards of Employee Conduct Inmate Handbook

BUREAU OF PRISONS Website:

https://www.bop.gov/inmates/custody_and_care/sexual_abuse_prevention.jsp Interviews conducted with:

Random staff

Random Inmates

In order to determine compliance, the following policies were reviewed: Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention, and Intervention Program, pages 35-36; and Program Statement 3420.11, Standards of Employee Conduct, pages 5-6. Additionally, the Bureau of Prisons Form BP-A0165, Acknowledgement of Receipt of Standards of Employee Conduct, was reviewed. The auditor reviewed the Inmate Handbook. Finally, the auditor reviewed the Bureau of Prisons website, which includes information on reporting an act of sexual harassment or sexual abuse.

In order to make a determination of compliance, interviews were conducted with both random staff and inmates.

In order to make a determination of compliance, the following observations were made during the onsite tour of the facility: The housing units, program areas, and the intake area (Receiving and Discharge) had signs informing inmates of their right to be free of sexual abuse. There were signs informing inmates about how to report incidents of sexual abuse. The signs were posted in both English and Spanish. The auditor notes that inmates also have access to TRULINCS, a computer program that provides PREA information and a reporting outlet. Through TRULINCS, the inmate can contact the Office of the Inspector General anonymously, and the email is untraceable at the institution level. Several TRULINCS computers were observed in each housing unit during the facility tour.

Inmates have multiple ways to report allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment at the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford; specifically, Inmates are encouraged to report pressure, threats, or instances of sexual abuse or sexual harassment, as well as possible retaliation by other inmates or employees for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment, and staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents. Inmates who are victims of sexual abuse have the option to report an incident to a designated employee other than an immediate point-of-contact line officer by using any of the following methods: Write directly to the Warden, Regional Director or Director; file an Administrative Remedy; write the Office of the Inspector General (OIG); E-mail the OIG.; or have someone file a third-party report at Bureau of Prisons public website. (https://www.bop.gov/inmates/custody_and_care/sexual_abuse_prevention.isp)

The website provides the following information concerning reporting: Reporting Allegations of Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment.

How to report allegations of inmate abuse

To initiate an investigation, please provide information about the incident(s), including the dates, times, and locations where each incident took place; names of the inmates, staff, or others who were involved; and their identifying information. Any detail you can provide will greatly assist our investigation. Send your information to one of the addresses below:

Inmate abuse of other inmates: Federal Bureau of Prisons, National PREA Coordinator, Reentry Services Division, 400 First St. NW, Room 4027, Washington, DC 20534

Staff abuse of inmates: Federal Bureau of Prisons, Office of Internal Affairs, 320 First St. NW, Room 600, Washinton, DC 20534

These multiple reporting methods are posted throughout the facility, available in the inmate brochure, and reviewed with the inmate during intake. Inmate interviews confirm knowledge of the reporting procedures.

Staff reporting requirements are addressed in Program Statement 3420.11, Standards of Employee Conduct. Staff may contact any supervisory staff at the local institution, Regional staff, or Central Office staff, including the Regional PREA Coordinators and the National PREA Coordinator. As appropriate, allegations involving staff members may also be reported to the Office of Internal Affairs or the Office of the Inspector General. All staff must acknowledge receipt of the Standards of Employee Conduct. This acknowledgment is documented on the Bureau of Prisons Form BP-A0165, Acknowledgement of Receipt of Standards of Employee Conduct. Staff interviews confirm knowledge of reporting procedures.

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 35-36; and Program Statement 3420.11, Standards of Employee Conduct, pages 5-6. addresses the requirements of 115.51(a) (b) (c) and (d)

Inmates and staff at the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford have several methods available to make reports concerning sexual abuse or sexual harassment. There is compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.52: Exhaustion of administrative remedies

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.52 (a)

•	Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not
	have administrative procedures to address inmate grievances regarding sexual abuse. This
	does not mean the agency is exempt simply because an inmate does not have to or is not
	ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of
	explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual
	abuse. □ Yes ⊠ No

115.52 (b)

•	Does the agency permit inmates to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	Does the agency always refrain from requiring an inmate to use any informal grievance process or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA

•	Does the agency ensure that: An inmate who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	Does the agency ensure that: Such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
15.52	2 (d)
•	Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by inmates in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	If the agency claims the maximum allowable extension of time to respond of up to 70 days per $115.52(d)(3)$ when the normal time period for response is insufficient to make an appropriate decision, does the agency notify the inmate in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the inmate does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may an inmate consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
15.52	2 (e)
•	Are third parties, including fellow inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist inmates in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of inmates? (If a third-party files such a request on behalf of an inmate, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	If the inmate declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the inmate's decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
15.52	2 (f)
_	Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an appearance evision as all arises that are
•	Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA

115.52 (c)

•	After receiving an emergency grievance alleging an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.). \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	Does the initial response and final agency decision document the agency's determination whether the inmate is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	Does the initial response document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	Does the agency's final decision document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
115.52	(g)
•	If the agency disciplines an inmate for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse, does it do so ONLY where the agency demonstrates that the inmate filed the grievance in bad faith? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
Audito	r Overall Compliance Determination
	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
Instruc	ctions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative
complia conclus not me	rrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the ance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's sions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does et the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by ation on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Program Statement 1330.18, Administrative Remedy Program Inmate Handbook

In order to determine compliance, the following policy was reviewed: Program Statement 1330.18, Administrative Remedy Program.

Program Statement 1330.18, Administrative Remedy Program, addresses the standard's requirements. Grievances (administrative remedies) filed alleging sexual abuse or sexual harassment would immediately open a formal investigation. The agency has an administrative procedure for dealing with inmate grievances regarding sexual abuse. The agency procedure allows an inmate to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse, regardless of when the incident is alleged to have occurred. The agency procedure does not require an inmate to use an informal grievance process or otherwise attempt to resolve an alleged incident of sexual abuse with staff. The procedure requires that an inmate grievance alleging sexual abuse will not be referred to the staff member who is the subject of the complaint. The agency procedure requires that a decision on the merits of any grievance or portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse be made within 90 days of the grievance filing. The agency always notifies the inmate in writing when the agency files for an extension, including notice of the date by which a decision will be made.

Agency procedure permits third parties, including fellow inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, to assist the inmate in filing requests for administrative remedy relating to allegations of sexual abuse and to file such requests on behalf of inmates. Agency procedure requires that the agency documents the inmate's decision to decline if the inmate declines to have third-party assistance in filing a grievance alleging sexual abuse.

The agency has a procedure for filing an emergency grievance alleging that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse. The agency procedure for emergency grievances alleging a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse requires an initial response within 48 hours. Agency procedure for emergency grievances alleging substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse requires a final agency decision issued within five days. Best efforts are made to provide Regional Office and Central Office expedited appeal responses within five calendar days. If an inmate reasonably believes the issue is sensitive and the inmate's safety or well-being would be placed in danger if the remedy became known at the institution, the inmate may submit the remedy directly to the appropriate Regional Office.

The agency has a written policy that limits its ability to discipline an inmate for filing a grievance alleging sexual abuse to occasions where the agency demonstrates the inmate filed the grievance in bad faith.

The auditor's review of the Inmate Handbook reveals an overview of reporting sexual abuse/harassment via the formal grievance procedure.

The Institution PREA Compliance Manager reports there have been no instances in which a final decision related to an inmate sexual abuse grievance was not reached in 90 days, as there have been no instances of grievances filed alleging sexual abuse. Additionally, during the past 12 months, there have been no instances in which written notification of a time frame extension was necessary, as there were no instances of grievances filed alleging sexual abuse.

Program Statement 1330.18, Administrative Remedy Program addresses 115.52 (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), (f), and (g).

Standard 115.53: Inmate access to outside confidential support services

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

■ Does the facility provide inmates with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving inmates mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy o rape crisis organizations? ⊠ Yes □ No
■ Does the facility provide persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available of local, State, or national immigrant services agencies? (N/A if the facility <i>never</i> has persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes.) □ Yes □ No ⋈ NA
■ Does the facility enable reasonable communication between inmates and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible? ⊠ Yes □ No
115.53 (b)
■ Does the facility inform inmates, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws? ⊠ Yes □ No
115.53 (c)
■ Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide inmates with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse? ☑ Yes □ No
■ Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements? ⊠ Yes □ No
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination
Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative
The perretive helew must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

115.53 (a)

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Institution Supplement 5324.12C, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Inmate Handbook

Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention, Overview for Offenders pamphlet MOU Between The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford and the Hope House of South Central Wisconsin

Interviews conducted with Mental Health Staff Program Director from the Hope House of South Central Wisconsin Random Inmates

In order to determine compliance, the following policies were reviewed: Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, page 36, and Institution Supplement 5324.12C, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 6-8. Additionally, the auditor reviewed the Inmate Handbook, the Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention, Overview for Offenders pamphlet, and the MOU Between the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford and the Hope House of South Central Wisconsin.

The Hope House of South Central Wisconsin is an outside advocacy group available to the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford inmate population. The Center provides confidential emotional support services for victims of sexual abuse and harassment. Victim Advocates accompany victims of sexual assault who arrive at Aspirus Divine Savior Hospital for a forensic examination following a sexual assault allegation.

In order to make a determination of compliance, interviews were conducted with random inmates and mental health staff. Facility mental health treatment providers have been trained as victim advocates.

In order to make a determination of compliance, the following observations were made during the onsite tour of the facility: The housing units, Receiving and Discharge area, and programming areas all had signs/posters informing inmates of their right to be free of sexual abuse. There were signs informing inmates about how to report incidents of sexual abuse. The signs were posted in both English and Spanish.

Inmates are informed as part of their orientation process that all telephone calls (except properly placed legal calls) are subject to monitoring and recording and that all mail, except for legal mail, is subject to monitoring. Inmates are informed that emails to the Office of the Inspector General through TRULINCS are not monitored by the facility or the Bureau of Prisons.

The auditor interviewed a Victim Advocate from the Hope House of South Central Wisconsin on March 29, 2022. During the interview, the Victim Advocate stated the organization was available to assist victims at the facility. In addition, inmates could contact the Hope House of South Central Wisconsin directly from the facility. Victim Advocates have received Sexual Assault Advocacy Training. The training included: How to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; and how and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The Victim Advocate indicated that at the initiation of services to an inmate, the Hope House of South Central Wisconsin staff member would disclose the limitations of confidentiality and their duty to report. Further, victims of sexual abuse would receive timely and unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, and the nature and scope of the services would be

determined according to the professional judgment of the SANE and Advocacy staff. Finally, follow-up services would be provided as necessary, and the level of care was consistent with community standards.

All inmates interviewed indicated they had confidential access to their attorneys. Additionally, all inmates interviewed stated they are allowed to visit with family members depending on the Covid-19 status of the facility.

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, page 36, and Institution Supplement 5324.12C, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 6-8, the Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention pamphlet for Inmates, the MOU between the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford and the Hope House of South Central Wisconsin addresses the requirements of 115.53 (a) (b) and (c).

Based on the above information, there is compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.54: Third-party reporting

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

	11	5.	54	(a)
--	----	----	----	-----

•		be agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual sment? $oxtimes$ Yes \oxtimes No			
•	■ Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of an inmate? ✓ Yes ✓ No				
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination					
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)			
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)			
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)			

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Bureau of Prisons website

https://www.bop.gov/inmates/custody and care/sexual abuse prevention.jsp

Interviews conducted with: Random Inmates

The following policy was reviewed to determine compliance: Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, page 37. Additionally, the auditor reviewed the Bureau of Prisons website, which provides information to the public on how to report inmate sexual abuse or sexual harassment on behalf of an inmate.

In order to make a determination of compliance, interviews were conducted with random inmates who confirmed their knowledge of third-party reporting capabilities.

The following describes how the evidence above was used to draw a conclusion regarding compliance. The Bureau of Prisons has established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse. This information is available on the Bureau of Prisons website

(https://www.bop.gov/inmates/custody_and_care/sexual_abuse_prevention.jsp). Information is available to the public on how to report inmate sexual abuse or sexual harassment on behalf of the inmates.

The Auditor sent an email to the Bureau of Prisons via the "Voice a Concern" (https://www.bop.gov/inmates/concerns.jsp). The email was sent on May 4, 2022, at 12:02 pm. At 2:11 pm, on May 4, 2022, the Auditor was contacted by the IPCM, indicating that he had received my inquiry (forwarded from the Central Office) and had it been related to an inmate sexual assault, he would initiate an investigation. The third-party reporting system was effective, and the facility responded within two hours of my initial email.

The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford provides a pamphlet for inmates. This pamphlet includes specific information about PREA, contact information, and reporting information. The auditor examined the pamphlet and website. Resident interviews confirm awareness of the third-party reporting capabilities.

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, page 37, and the Bureau of Prisons website address 115.54 (a) requirements.

Given the above, the auditor finds the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford compliant with 115.54.

OFFICIAL RESPONSE FOLLOWING AN INMATE REPORT

Standard 115.61: Staff and agency reporting duties

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.61 (a)

■ Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency? ⊠ Yes □ No

•	knowle	he agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any edge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against inmates or staff who reported dent of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	knowle that ma	he agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any edge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities ay have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation? \Box No
115.61	(b)	
•	reveali necess	rom reporting to designated supervisors or officials, does staff always refrain from ng any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent sary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security anagement decisions? ⊠ Yes □ No
115.61	(c)	
•	practition	otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, are medical and mental health oners required to report sexual abuse pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?
•		edical and mental health practitioners required to inform inmates of the practitioner's duty ort, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.61	(d)	
•	local vu	lleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or ulnerable persons statute, does the agency report the allegation to the designated State I services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws? ⊠ Yes □ No
115.61	(e)	
•		he facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including thirdnd anonymous reports, to the facility's designated investigators? \boxtimes Yes \square No
Audito	r Overa	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
Instru	ctions f	or Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does

not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Interviews conducted with:
Institution PREA Compliance Manager
Random Staff

In order to determine compliance, the following policy was reviewed: Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 37 and 38.

In order to make a determination of compliance, the auditor interviewed random staff and the Institution PREA Compliance Manager.

The following describes how the evidence above was used to draw a conclusion regarding compliance. The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford requires all staff to report immediately any knowledge, suspicion, or information they receive regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility. All staff members are also required to report any retaliation against inmates or staff who have reported an incident of sexual assault or sexual harassment. Regardless of its source, the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford employees who receive information concerning inmate on inmate sexual misconduct at the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford, or who observe an incident of inmate-on-inmate sexual misconduct or have reasonable cause to suspect an inmate is a victim of sexual misconduct must immediately report the information or incident directly to their immediate Supervisor.

Any Federal Correctional Institution Oxford employee who fails to report an allegation or coerces or threatens another person to submit inaccurate, incomplete, or untruthful information with the intent to alter a report may face disciplinary action, up to and including termination of employment. Staff members are required to report any violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation. All Federal Correctional Institution Oxford staff have a duty to report any allegation of sexual abuse as required by mandatory reporting laws. Inmates are informed of the limitations of confidentiality between inmates and staff.

Aside from reporting to the designated supervisors or officials and designated State or local service agencies, The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford prohibits staff from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary to make treatment, investigation, and additional security and management decisions.

Although no complaints have been received from a member of the public, a procedure has been established for third-party reporting (see 115.54).

The Bureau of Prisons requires that immediate action is taken to protect inmates from sexual abuse. Staff interviewed are aware of their reporting requirements and the steps that need to be taken to ensure the inmate's safety. All 13 random staff interviewees assert they received training regarding reporting sexual abuse/harassment and compliance with applicable mandatory reporting laws.

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 37-38, addresses the requirements of 115.61 (a) (b) (c) (d) and (e).

Given the above, the auditor finds The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford compliant with 115.61.

Standard 115.62: Agency protection duties

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

11	5	.62	(a)

When the agency learns that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the inmate?

⊠ Yes □ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Interviews conducted with:
Institution PREA Compliance Manager
Random staff

In order to determine compliance, the following policy was reviewed: Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 38 and 39.

In order to make a determination of compliance, the auditor interviewed random staff and the Institution PREA Compliance Manager.

The following describes how the evidence above was used to draw a conclusion regarding compliance. A review of policy and interviews with the Institution PREA Compliance Manager and Random Staff demonstrated the appropriate protective measures that would be taken if an inmate was at imminent risk of sexual abuse. Additionally, all staff interviewed indicated specific knowledge of the protective measures that should be taken in the event an inmate was subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse.

The auditor reviewed Program Statement 5324.12; The program statement outlines how staff members respond to any allegation of sexual misconduct. As stated, in the procedures, in cases where the

alleged perpetrator is another inmate, the Operations Lieutenant is notified immediately and immediately safeguards the inmate victim (which will vary depending on the severity of the alleged sexually abusive behavior and could include monitoring the situation, changing housing assignments, changing work assignment, placing alleged victim and perpetrator in Special Housing, etc.). The Operations Lieutenant promptly refers all inmates reported or suspected of being the victim of sexually abusive behavior to Psychology Services for an assessment of vulnerability and treatment needs. The Operations Lieutenant also notifies the Institution PREA Compliance Manager.

If the alleged perpetrator is a staff member, all options for safeguarding the inmate victim should be considered as described in the above paragraph. The decisions made to safeguard the inmate should take the impact on staff members into account, per the Master Agreement. Removal from the facility is an extreme measure, and other options include reassignment to another unit or post or other measures that will effectively separate the staff member from the inmate.

If the alleged perpetrator is an inmate and a staff member is the subject of abuse or harassment, all safeguarding options should be considered. Options should include reassignment of the inmate to another housing unit, writing incident reports, criminal prosecution, if appropriate, per the Program Statements Inmate Discipline Program, 5270.09, and Special Housing Units, 5270.11, among other options that will effectively separate the inmate from the staff member.

Although there have been no incidents in the past 12 months at the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford, the staff interviewed are aware of their reporting requirements and the steps that need to be taken to ensure the inmate's safety.

The Institution PREA Compliance Manager asserts that when it is learned an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, the potential victim is immediately removed from the area and placed in a safe environment.

Thirteen random staff interviewees assert if they learn an inmate is at risk of imminent sexual abuse, they immediately remove the inmate from the area and place the potential victim under direct staff supervision to ensure safety.

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 38 and 39, addresses 115.62(a).

Given the above, the auditor finds The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford compliant with 115.62.

Standard 115.63: Reporting to other confinement facilities

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

11	5.63	(a)

■ Upon receiving an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.63 (b)

Is such notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation?

⊠ Yes □ No

	(C)			
•	Does t	he agency document that it has provided such notification? $oxtimes$ Yes \oxtimes No		
115.63	(d)			
-	Does t	he facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation stigated in accordance with these standards? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination				
		Francisco de Otros de ad (Outro de adello esta de agrando de agrando de agrando de adello esta de adello esta de agrando		
	_	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)		
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards) Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)		

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program

Interviews conducted with: Institution PREA Compliance Manager Warden

In order to determine compliance, the following policy was reviewed: Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 39 and 40.

In order to make a determination of compliance, interviews were conducted with the Institution PREA Compliance Manager and the Warden.

According to Program Statement 5324.12, in cases where there is an allegation that sexually abusive behavior occurred at another Bureau facility, the Warden (or designee) of the victim's current facility reports the allegation to the Warden of the identified institution. In cases alleging sexual abuse by staff at another institution, the Warden of the inmate's current facility refers the matter directly to the Office of Internal Affairs.

For non-Bureau secure privatized facilities, jails, juvenile facilities, and Residential Reentry Centers, the Warden will contact the appropriate office of the facility and notify the Privatization Management or the Residential Reentry Management Branches as appropriate.

For non-Bureau facilities, the Warden (or designee) contacts the appropriate office of that correctional agency.

During the past 12 months, the number of allegations the facility received that an inmate was abused while confined at another facility was zero. In the past 12 months, the number of allegations of sexual abuse the facility received from other facilities was two. In both cases, an investigation was immediately initiated. The Institution PREA Compliance Manager stated the notifications to other facilities would occur within 72 hours of the time staff became aware of the incident.

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 39 and 40. addresses 115.63(a) (b) (c) and (d).

In view of the above, the auditor finds the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford is compliant with 115.63.

Standard 115.64: Staff first responder duties

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

5.64	l (a)
•	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser? ☑ Yes □ No
•	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.64 (b)

11

■ If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff? ⊠ Yes □ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program One Source First Responder Reference Guide, Sexual Assault Crisis Intervention checklist Interviews conducted with Security staff first responder Random staff

In order to determine compliance, the following policy and other documentation were reviewed: Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, page 40 and the One Source First Responder Reference Guide, Sexual Assault Crisis Intervention checklist.

In order to determine compliance, the auditor interviewed one security staff first responder and random staff.

In order to determine compliance, the following observations were made during the on-site tour of the facility: During the tour, informal discussions were conducted by the auditor with the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford custody staff concerning how they would respond to different situations involving allegations of sexual assault or sexual harassment. In each informal discussion, staff indicated the most crucial step was to keep the victim safe, believe what the victim had stated, and take action as necessary to ensure the victim's safety.

The following describes how the evidence above was used to conclude compliance. Staff members are aware of their duty in this area. The staff members interviewed indicated a need to separate the victim from the abuser, preserve and protect the crime scene, advise the victim not to take any action that would compromise the evidence, and, if possible, ensure the alleged abuser did not take any action that would compromise any evidence. In addition, staff members were aware that they needed to contact the Operations Lieutenant.

The staff interviewed indicated they had received training that included the duties of a first responder.

Any employee who discovers or learns of sexual abuse, or an allegation of sexual abuse, shall ensure that the following actions are accomplished: The alleged victim is kept safe, prevent any contact with the alleged perpetrator, preserve the crime scene, contact the Operations Lieutenant. Ensure the alleged victim does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence.

Interviews with random staff indicate they understand the duties of a first responder. Additionally, the policy clearly describes the steps to be taken in response to an allegation of sexual abuse, assault, or harassment. Those steps include separating the parties, cell reassignment, securing the scene,

following evidentiary practices, and medical evaluation. During the past 12 months, the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford has had four reported incidents of sexual assault. The Auditor reviewed the administrative investigations for each incident.

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, page 40, and the One Source First Responder Reference Guide, Sexual Assault Crisis Intervention checklist. address 115.64 (a) and (b).

Based on the interviews and the availability of the information available to staff concerning their duties as first responders, there is substantial compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.65: Coordinated response

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

1	1	5	65	(a)

■ Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse?

✓ Yes

✓ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program One Source First Responder Reference Guide, Sexual Assault Crisis Intervention checklist. Interviews conducted with:

Institution PREA Compliance Manager Warden

Random Staff

In order to determine compliance, the following policy and other documentation were reviewed: Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages

40-42. The auditor reviewed the One Source First Responder Reference Guide, Sexual Assault Crisis Intervention checklist.

In order to make a determination of compliance, the auditor interviewed random staff, the Institution PREA Compliance Manager, and the Warden.

In order to determine compliance, the following observations were made during the on-site tour of the facility: During the tour, informal discussions were conducted by the Auditor with the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford Custody staff concerning how they would respond to different situations involving allegations of sexual assault or sexual harassment. In each informal discussion, staff indicated the most crucial step was to keep the victim safe, believe what the victim had stated, and take action as necessary to ensure the victim's safety.

The following describes how the evidence above was used to draw a conclusion regarding compliance.

All staff report incidents of sexual abuse to the Operations Lieutenant. The Operations Lieutenant immediately safeguards the inmate. The Operations Lieutenant promptly refers all inmates reported or suspected of being the victim of sexually abusive behavior to the Health Services Unit (or the on-call clinician during non-business hours) for physical assessment and documentation of injuries. The Operations Lieutenant promptly refers all inmates reported or suspected of being the victim of sexually abusive behavior to Psychology Services for an assessment of vulnerability and treatment needs. In addition, during business hours, the Operations Lieutenant ensures that the SIS Lieutenant, Chief of Correctional Services, Institution PREA Compliance Manager, and Warden are notified. During non-business hours, the Operations Lieutenant ensures that the SIS Lieutenant, Chief of Correctional Services, Institution PREA Compliance Manager, Duty Officer, Health Services staff, and on-call Psychologist are notified.

The Institution PREA Compliance Manager reviews relevant factors and decides whether or not to proceed with full activation of the Response Protocol. Not all allegations of sexually abusive behavior require full activation of the protocol. In cases where more information is needed or when there is a credible and severe allegation or instance of sexually abusive behavior, the full Response Protocol must be implemented. Once the Institution PREA Compliance Manager determines that the intervention should continue, a sensitive and coordinated response is necessary. Services will be provided in an environment that meets both security and therapeutic needs.

The full Response Protocol, monitored by the Institution PREA Compliance Manager, involves the following components: Correctional Services, safeguard the inmate; engage in evidence collection and preservation at the institution, including inmate clothing and footwear; investigate cases involving inmate perpetrators; arrange for outside medical trips if necessary; ensure that Security Threat Group categories for victims and predators are entered into SENTRY.

Psychologists are responsible for crisis intervention, assessment of treatment needs, documentation of the evaluation results, treatment, psychiatric referral, and other treatment options related to the alleged victim. Psychologists also notify the qualified agency staff member or the outside victim advocate, if necessary, to assist the inmate.

Properly trained Health Services clinicians are responsible for assessment, examination, documentation, and treatment of inmate injuries arising from incidents of sexual abuse, including testing when appropriate for pregnancy, HIV, and other sexually transmissible infections.

Based on the interviews with random staff, the Institution PREA Compliance Manager, and the Warden. A review of the Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention

Program, pages 40-42, and a review of the One Source First Responder Reference Guide, Sexual Assault Crisis Intervention checklist, the auditor asserts there is substantial compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.66: Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

1	1	5	.66	(a)

• Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limits the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any inmates pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted? ⋈ Yes □ No

115.66 (b)

Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Federal Bureau of Prisons and Council of Prison Locals American Federation of Government Employees' bargaining agreement

Interviews conducted with:

Agency Head

In order to determine compliance, the following policy was reviewed: Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, page 42. Additionally, the auditor

reviewed the Federal Bureau of Prisons and Council of Prison Locals American Federation of Government Employees' bargaining agreement, pages 69 and 70.

The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford has no limit on its ability to remove alleged sexual abusers from contact with any inmates pending the outcome of an investigation. As indicated in the interview with the Agency Head, the collective bargaining agreement (Article 30(g)) permits the agency to remove an employee from an institution when an allegation adversely affects the agency's confidence in the employee or the security of the institution. The employee may be removed from the institution setting "pending an investigation and resolution of the matter, in accordance with applicable laws, rules, and regulations."."

The auditor finds that the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford is compliant with 115.66.

Standard 115.67: Agency protection against retaliation

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

	11	15.	67	(a)
--	----	-----	----	-----

115.67	(a)
	Has the agency established a policy to protect all inmates and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other inmates or staff? \boxtimes Yes \square No
44E 67	
115.67	(D)
•	Does the agency employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for inmate victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or inmate abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services, for inmates or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.67	(c)

115

- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff? \boxtimes Yes \square No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff? \boxtimes Yes \square No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded. for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation? ⊠ Yes □ No

•	for at le	t in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, east 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor any inmate nary reports? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
•	for at le	t in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, east 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate housing es? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
•	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate program changes? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
•	for at le	t in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, east 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor negative mance reviews of staff? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
•	for at le	t in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, east 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor reassignments $? \boxtimes \text{Yes} \Box \text{ No}$		
•		he agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a uing need? $oximes$ Yes \oximeg No		
115.67	(d)			
•	In the	case of inmates, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks? $\hfill \square$ No		
115.67	(e)			
•	If any o	other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, does ency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation? \Box No		
115.67	(f)			
•	Audito	r is not required to audit this provision.		
Audito	r Over	all Compliance Determination		
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)		
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)		
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)		
Instru	ctions f	for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative		

PREA Audit Report – V7. Page 98 of 132

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Interviews conducted with:

Institution PREA Compliance Manager

In order to determine compliance, the following policy was reviewed: Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 42-43.

In order to make a determination of compliance, the auditor interviewed the Institution PREA Compliance Manager. The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford reports zero incidents of retaliation have occurred at the facility in the past 12 months.

The following describes how the evidence above was used to draw a conclusion regarding compliance. The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford prohibits retaliation against inmates and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with investigations. Multiple measures are available that include the removal of alleged staff and alleged inmate abusers, housing changes, and advocate support. Monitoring can last for at least 90 days and includes periodic status checks.

The Institution PREA Compliance Manager indicates the facility monitors the conduct and treatment of inmate(s) or staff who reported sexual abuse and of inmate(s) who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are any changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff. The Institution PREA Compliance Manager further stated that the facility monitors the conduct or treatment for as long as necessary and promptly remedies any such retaliation. The facility continues such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need. As noted by the Institution PREA Compliance Manager, there were no incidents of retaliation during the last 12 months.

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 42-43 and the interview with the Institution PREA Compliance Manager addresses 115.67 (a) (b) (c) (d) and (e)

In view of the above, the auditor finds the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford compliant with 115.67.

Standard 115.68: Post-allegation protective custody

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.68 (a)

Is any and all use of segregated housing to protect an inmate who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse subject to the requirements of § 115.43? ⋈ Yes □ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Bureau of Prisons Form BP-A1002, Safeguarding of Inmates Alleging Sexual Abuse/Assault Allegation

In order to determine compliance, the following policies were reviewed: Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 42-43. Additionally, the Bureau of Prisons Form BP-A1002, Safeguarding of Inmates Alleging Sexual Abuse/Assault Allegation, was reviewed.

The Institution PREA Compliance Manager asserts there were no circumstances within the last 12 months wherein isolation was used to protect an inmate who was alleged to have suffered sexual abuse. The Institution PREA Compliance Manager further asserts that inmates at high risk for sexual victimization shall not be placed in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made and a determination has been made that there are no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers.

Inmates who allege to have suffered sexual abuse may not be placed in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made and a determination has been made that there are no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers. To the extent possible, access to programs, privileges, education, and work opportunities are not limited for those inmates placed in the Special Housing Unit for protective custody. The facility would document the reasons for restricting access and the length of time the restrictions would last.

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 42-43, and the Bureau of Prisons Form BP-A1002, Safeguarding of Inmates Alleging Sexual Abuse/Assault Allegation, addresses 115.68 (a).

In view of the above, the auditor finds the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford is compliant with 115.68.

INVESTIGATIONS

Standard 115.71: Criminal and administrative agency investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.71	(a)
•	When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? [N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).] \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? [N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).] \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
115.71	(b)
•	Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations as required by 115.34? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.71	(c)
•	Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
•	Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
115.71	(d)
•	When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution? \square Yes \boxtimes No
115.71	(e)
•	Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual's status as inmate or staff? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring an inmate who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.71	(f)
•	Do administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No

Instru	ctions f	or Overall Compliance Determination Narrative
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
Audito	or Overa	all Compliance Determination
•	When a investig	an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside gators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? (N/A if side agency does not conduct administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See (a).) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
115.71	(I)	
115.71		r is not required to audit this provision.
•	or cont ⊠ Yes	he agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment rol of the agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation? \Box No
115.71	(j)	
•	Does th	he agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.71(f) and (g) for as long as the data abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.71	(i)	
	Are all	substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution? $\hfill\square$ No
115.71	(h)	
	Are crir	minal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary ce where feasible? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.71	(g)	
•	physica	ministrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the all evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and gative facts and findings? \boxtimes Yes \square No

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program NIC Training Certificate for the investigator

Interviews conducted with: Special Investigative Services (SIS) Lieutenant

In order to determine compliance, the following policy was reviewed: Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 43-45. The auditor also reviewed the NIC Training Certificate for the investigator.

In order to determine compliance, the auditor interviewed the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford Special Investigative Services (SIS) Lieutenant.

The following describes how the evidence above was used to draw a conclusion regarding compliance. The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford conducts administrative investigations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment allegations. The investigations begin upon staff notification. Third-party reports, if received, are investigated in the same manner as a direct report. Any report of sexual abuse that appears to be criminal is referred to the FBI or the OIG. The FBI would conduct criminal investigations for the facility involving inmate on inmate sexual abuse, and the OIG would investigate staff on inmate sexual abuse

The SIS Lieutenant would gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence; crime scenes are secured to prevent contamination. The SIS Lieutenant collects all electronic monitoring data, records, inmate files, and staff memorandums and provides that information to the lead Law Enforcement agency. The SIS Lieutenant would interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses for administrative investigations. Under normal circumstances, the lead Law Enforcement agency will conduct its own interviews during a criminal investigation.

The SIS Lieutenant does not conduct compelled interviews; if necessary, those interviews would be conducted by the lead Law Enforcement agency in consultation with the principal Prosecutors office. During his interview, the SIS Lieutenant stated that the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness was based on the individual and not on his status as an inmate or staff member.

Inmates are not subject to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling devices.

Administrative investigations are thorough and provide information detailing staff actions in response to an incident and whether staff actions contributed to the abuse. All administrative investigations are documented in written reports and include investigative findings based on information provided by the evidence collected.

Criminal investigations are documented and maintained by the lead Law Enforcement agency. The SIS Lieutenant would be provided a summary of the case and the case disposition. The lead Law Enforcement agency maintains all evidence collected during a criminal investigation. The lead Law

Enforcement agency will refer cases for criminal prosecution based on their investigations. Substantiated allegations of conduct that appear to be criminal are referred for prosecution.

The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford retains all written investigative reports for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years. An investigation is never terminated due to the departure of the alleged abuser or victim. If a staff member is terminated or resigns, the investigation continues until resolution.

The SIS Lieutenant works with the lead Law Enforcement agency and fully cooperates with that agency during the investigation.

The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford has several individuals who have completed specialized training. However, only one individual is responsible for conducting investigations at the facility, specifically the SIS Lieutenant. The SIS Lieutenant has participated in training for conducting sexual abuse investigations as required by PREA standard 115.34. Additionally, the SIS Lieutenant has participated in Investigative Intelligence Training and TruIntel Investigative Report Training. The SIS Lieutenant operates under the Office of Internal Affairs' guidance, and all of his investigations are closely monitored by the Office of Internal Affairs. Upon completing an administrative investigation, the SIS Lieutenant will do a follow-up investigation for Human Resource action as necessary.

The SIS Lieutenant indicated during his interviews that the training he received included good interpersonal communication skills with inmate victims, assailants, and witnesses. Understanding of the dynamics of inmate sexual violence. Establishing good working relationships with outside agencies, hospitals, prosecutors, and investigators and establishing procedures for evidence collection. He indicated the training also included information on the proper use of the Miranda and Garrity warnings.

The Auditor reviewed the NIC curriculum, Specialized Training: Investigating Sexual Abuse in Confinement Settings. This training is designed to address the requirements outlined in the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) standard 115.34, requiring specialized training for individuals tasked with investigating alleged incidents of sexual abuse in confinement settings. Additionally, this curriculum contains the information fundamental to understanding the concepts required by PREA standard 115.34 and best practices in investigating incidents of sexual abuse. The course provides legal issues, liability training, trauma and victim response, medical and mental health care, first response and evidence collection, adult and juvenile interviewing, and report writing. In addition to the NIC Course, the SIS Lieutenant participated in Investigative Intelligence Training and TruIntel Investigative Report Training. These training courses provide specific information concerning the role of the investigator and what steps are involved in criminal or administrative investigations.

The auditor reviewed four investigative files. The review of the investigative files included the date of the allegation, date of investigation initiation, whether it involved staff or inmates or both. The classification of sexual abuse or sexual harassment, the case disposition, was the disposition justified, who the investigating officer was, and the date of notification to the inmate. The Auditor noted each file contained documentation, including but not limited to the initial incident report, SIS report, PREA OneSource Checklist, Memorandums, Institution Medical Assessment, Psychology Report, Hospital Report (if applicable), Photographs, Crime Scene log, Chain of Custody, SENTRY documentation, and Victim Notification.

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 43-45, a review of the investigative files and the training records for the SIS Lieutenant, address 115.71(a-l).

Based on the review of the program statement, the interview with the SIS Lieutenant, a review of the training records, and a review of the investigative file, there is compliance with Standard 115.71

Standard 115.72: Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

1	1	5.	72	(a)
		IJ.		ıaı

•	eviden	true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the ence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are stantiated? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
Audito	Auditor Overall Compliance Determination				
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)			
		Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)			
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)			

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Interviews conducted with:

Special Investigative Services (SIS) Lieutenant

In order to determine compliance, the following policy was reviewed: Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 43-45.

In order to determine compliance, the auditor interviewed the SIS Lieutenant.

The SIS Lieutenant was interviewed concerning the evidential standard for administrative investigation. His response to the question of the evidential standard for an administrative investigation was, "The evidence standard for administrative investigation is a "preponderance of the evidence." The SIS Lieutenant was interviewed and explained to the auditor the steps to be taken during a PREA-related investigation. Additionally, Program Statement 5324.12 explicitly indicates on page 45, "The agency shall impose no standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated."

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, page 45. addresses 115.72 (a)

In view of the above, the auditor finds the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford is compliant with 115.72.

Standard 115.73: Reporting to inmates

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report		
115.73 (a)		
■ Following an investigation into an inmate's allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency inform the inmate as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded? ⊠ Yes □ No		
115.73 (b)		
■ If the agency did not conduct the investigation into an inmate's allegation of sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the inmate? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA		
115.73 (c)		
Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the inmate, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the inmate whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's unit? ⋈ Yes □ No		
Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the inmate, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the inmate whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility? ⋈ Yes □ No		
Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the inmate, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the inmate whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility? ⋈ Yes □ No		
Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the inmate, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the inmate whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual		

115.73 (d)

abuse within the facility? \boxtimes Yes \square No

•	does to	ing an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, he agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the d abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? \Box No
•	does to	ing an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, he agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the d abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? \Box No
115.73	(e)	
•	Does t	he agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications? $oxtimes$ Yes \odots No
115.73	(f)	
•	Audito	r is not required to audit this provision.
Audito	r Over	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Four Investigative Files

In order to determine compliance, the following policy was reviewed: Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 45-46.

The following describes how the evidence above was used to draw a conclusion regarding compliance. Inmates are informed of the results of the investigation. That information includes whether the staff member is or is not allowed to work in the inmate's unit; the staff member is or is not employed; the staff member has been indicted, or the staff member has been convicted. In addition, if the alleged abuser is an inmate, the inmate victim would be informed if the alleged abuser was indicted and or convicted. All notifications are documented.

The Program Statement indicates: Following an investigation into an inmate's allegation that they suffered sexual abuse at the facility, the inmate shall be informed whether the allegation has been substantiated, unsubstantiated or unfounded. If the facility did not conduct the investigation, the relevant information shall be requested from the outside investigating agency or entity in order to inform the inmate.

Following an inmate allegation that an employee has committed sexual abuse against the inmate, the facility shall subsequently inform the inmate (unless the facility has determined that the allegation is unfounded) whenever: The employee is no longer posted within the inmate unit as a result of the findings of the investigation; The employee is no longer employed at the facility as a result of the allegation; The facility learns that the employee has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility, or The facility learns that the employee has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility.

Following an inmate's allegation that they have been sexually abused by another inmate, the facility shall subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The facility learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility or the facility learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility. All inmate notifications or attempted notifications shall be documented. The agency's obligation to notify the inmate shall terminate if the inmate is released from custody.

The auditor notes that four sexual assault incidents have occurred at the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford in the past 12 months. Two of the investigations contain documentation of notification to the inmate. Two of the investigations do not contain notification documentation because the inmates were released from the facility. All notifications were appropriately made and documented.

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 45-46. address 115.73(a-e).

In view of the above, the auditor finds The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford substantially compliant with 115.73.

DISCIPLINE

Standard 115.76: Disciplinary sanctions for staff

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

11	5.	.7	6 ((a)

• Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies?

⊠ Yes □ No

115.76 (b)

Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse?

⊠ Yes □ No

•	harass circum	sciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual sment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and stances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions ed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
115.76	6 (d)				
	 Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)? ⋈ Yes □ No 				
Audito	or Over	all Compliance Determination			
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)			
		Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)			
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)			

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

115.76 (c)

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Program Statement 3420.11, Standards of Employee Conduct

In order to determine compliance, the following policies were reviewed: Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, page 47, and Program Statement 3420.11, Standards of Employee Conduct, pages 6-7.

The following describes how the evidence above was used to draw a conclusion regarding compliance. The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford staff are subject to disciplinary sanctions, including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies. Disciplinary sanctions for violations of the Standards of Employee Conduct relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) are commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories. All terminations for violations of the Standards of

Employee Conduct, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, shall be reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was not criminal, and to any relevant licensing bodies. The Bureau of Prisons tracks all staff terminations and licensing notifications.

The Institution PREA Compliance Manager indicated there had been no staff terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies at the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford in the past 12 months. The Institution PREA Compliance Manager stated appropriate notifications would be made to licensing boards or other agencies.

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, page 47, and Program Statement 3420.11, Standards of Employee Conduct, pages 6-7, addresses 115.76 (a-d)

In view of the above, the auditor finds the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford is compliant with 115.76.

Standard 115.77: Corrective action for contractors and volunteers

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.77	115.77 (a)						
•	•	contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with s? $oxtimes$ Yes $oxtimes$ No					
•	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)? \boxtimes Yes \square No						
•	•	contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing ? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No					
115.77	(b)						
•	contrac	case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a ctor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider or to prohibit further contact with inmates? \boxtimes Yes \square No					
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination							
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)					
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)					

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Program Statement 3420.11, Standards of Employee Conduct

In order to determine compliance, the following policies were reviewed: Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, page 47, and Program Statement 3420.11, Standards of Employee Conduct, pages 1 and 6-7.

The following describes how the evidence above was used to draw a conclusion regarding compliance. Program Statement 3420.11, Standard of Employee Conduct, page 1, states: "Contractors and volunteers working in Bureau facilities also are expected to conduct themselves by these standards, where applicable."

Any volunteer or contractor who engages in sexual abuse or sexual harassment shall be prohibited from contact with inmates and reported to law enforcement agencies and any relevant licensing body. Any other violation of the Standards of Conduct policy by a volunteer or contractor will result in further prohibitions.

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, page 47, and Program Statement 3420.11, Standards of Employee Conduct, pages 1, 6-7 address 115.77(a-b).

The Institution PREA Compliance Manager reports there have been no incidents of contractors or volunteers violating the Standards of Conduct at the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford within the past 12 months.

In view of the above, the auditor finds The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford is compliant with 115.77

Standard 115.78: Disciplinary sanctions for inmates

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.78 (a)

•	Following an administrative finding that an inmate engaged in inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse,
	or following a criminal finding of guilt for inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, are inmates subject to
	disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process? $oximes$ Yes $oximes$ No

115.78 (b)

•	inmate	nctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the 's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other s with similar histories? ⊠ Yes □ No		
115.78	(c)			
•	When o	determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary s consider whether an inmate's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or navior? ⊠ Yes □ No		
115.78	(d)			
•	underly the offe	acility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct ving reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to require ending inmate to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to mming and other benefits? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
115.78	(e)			
•		he agency discipline an inmate for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the ember did not consent to such contact? $oximes$ Yes \oximes No		
115.78	(f)			
-	upon a inciden	e purpose of disciplinary action does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an at or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate egation? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
115.78	(g)			
•	conside	gency prohibits all sexual activity between inmates, does the agency always refrain from ering non-coercive sexual activity between inmates to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the does not prohibit all sexual activity between inmates.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA		
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination				
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)		
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)		
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)		
Instruc	ctions f	or Overall Compliance Determination Narrative		

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does

not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Program Statement 5270.09, Inmate Discipline Program
Bureau of Prisons form BP-A0293, Notice of Rights at Discipline Hearing
Interviews conducted with:
Mental Health Specialist

In order to determine compliance, the following policies were reviewed: Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, page 48-49, Program Statement 5270.09, Inmate Discipline Program, Chapter 3, page 21, Appendix C, Inmate Rights, and Responsibilities, and Table 1, Prohibited Acts and Available Sanctions. The auditor also reviewed the Bureau of Prisons form BP-A0293, Notice of Rights at Discipline Hearing. Additionally, the auditor interviewed a Mental Health Specialist who indicated during her interview that inmates would be provided the opportunity to meet with a Mental Health Specialist to correct underlying reasons or motivations for abuse.

The following describes how the evidence above was used to draw a conclusion regarding compliance. Inmates are subject to disciplinary sanctions following an administrative finding that the inmate engaged in inmate on inmate sexual abuse or following a criminal finding of an inmate on inmate sexual abuse. The penalties are commensurate with the circumstances of the abuse committed. Appropriate rights and responsibilities are afforded to the inmate during the disciplinary hearing process. Inmates could be disciplined for sexual contact with staff if the staff member did not consent to such contact. The Bureau of Prisons prohibits all sexual activity between inmates and disciplines inmates for such action.

In the past 12 months, there have been zero administrative findings of inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, and there have been zero criminal findings of guilt for inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse.

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, page 48-49, Inmate Discipline Program, Chapter 3, page 21, Appendix C, Inmate Rights and Responsibilities, and Table 1, Prohibited Acts and Available Sanctions. The Bureau of Prisons form BP-A0293, Notice of Rights at Discipline Hearing, and the interview with the Mental Health Specialist addresses 115.78(a-g).

The auditor finds that the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford is compliant with 115.78.

MEDICAL AND MENTAL CARE

Standard 115.81: Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \				
• If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison.)	:			
115.81 (b)				
If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensur that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA	'nе			
115.81 (c)				
• If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a jail inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? ☑ Yes ☐ No				
115.81 (d)				
■ Is any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institution setting strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff as necessary trinform treatment plans and security management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments, or as otherwise required by Federal, State, or local law? ☑ Yes □ No	0			
115.81 (e)				
■ Do medical and mental health practitioners obtain informed consent from inmates before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional settin unless the inmate is under the age of 18? ⊠ Yes □ No	g,			
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination				
Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)				
Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)				
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)				
Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative				

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does

115.81 (a)

not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Program Statement 1351.05, Release of Information, pages 19-20 and 26-27 Intake Screening Form
PREA Intake Objective Screening Instrument
Psychology Services Risk of Sexual Victimization form
Interviews conducted with:
Mental Health Specialist
Staff who perform Risk Assessments
Inmates who disclosed sexual victimization during risk screening

In order to determine compliance, the following policies were reviewed: Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 29-32 and 49 and Program Statement 1351.05, Release of Information, pages 19-20 and 26-27. The Intake Screening Form, the PREA Intake Objective Screening Instrument, and the Psychology Services Risk of Sexual Victimization form were reviewed. The auditor interviewed a Mental Health Specialist who indicated that inmates who experienced prior sexual victimization are provided the opportunity to meet with a Mental Health Specialist within 14 days of intake screening. The auditor interviewed inmates who disclosed sexual victimization during risk screening.

The following describes how the evidence above was used to conclude compliance. The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford staff (Intake Officers) make arrangements for a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner for inmates who disclose any prior sexual victimization during screening. Three inmates who disclosed sexual victimization during risk screening were interviewed. Each inmate stated the Psychology staff saw them within days of their initial intake.

During her interview, the Mental Health staff member indicated that sexual harassment or abuse victims are offered treatment. As necessary, treatment plans and information related to sexual victimization are limited to mental health practitioners. Applicable rules concerning private medical information are strictly enforced. Inmates are made aware of the reporting requirements and what is considered protected information.

The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford staff (Intake Officers) and Mental Health staff members work together to collect and monitor information that indicates prior sexual victimization. Any information about victimization is limited to a need-to-know basis. Unit staff members are informed of the information for housing placement. Interviews with staff confirm compliance with this standard.

Relevant information is used to inform mental health treatment plans and security decisions, such as housing and program assignments. Mental Health clinical notes are maintained separately from the inmates' central file.

The Institution PREA Compliance Manager indicated that the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford ensures that inmates who have previously perpetrated sexual abuse are offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner. This was confirmed during interviews with inmates. Mental health staff members maintain secondary materials (e.g., screening assessment, treatment documentation) that document all inmates who have disclosed any prior sexual victimization during a screening pursuant to 115.41. Inmates are offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health

practitioner. Information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in the institutional setting is strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners. The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford ensures that inmates who have previously been victims of sexual abuse outside of an institutional setting are offered supportive services and that the information related to their prior victimization is not disclosed without their consent. Mental Health and Medical staff inform all inmates through standard confidentiality disclosures as part of their routine practices.

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 29-32 and 49 and Program Statement 1351.05, Release of Information, pages 19-20 and 26-27.addresses 115.81 (a) (b) (c) (d) and (e).

Based on the interview with the Mental Health professional, interviews with inmates, a review of Program Statements 5324.12 and 1351.05 and a review of the relevant intake forms, there is compliance with standard 115.81.

Standard 115.82: Access to emergency medical and mental health services

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

	· \~/
•	Do inmate victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical
	treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by

medical	and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment?
⊠ Yes	∐ No
115.82 (b)	

- If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do security staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.62? ⋈ Yes □ No
- Do security staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.82 (c)

115.82 (a)

• Are inmate victims of sexual abuse offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate? ⋈ Yes ☐ No

115.82 (d)

 Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?
 ☑ Yes □ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Program Statement 6031.04 Patient Care

One Source First Responder Reference Guide, Sexual Assault Crisis Intervention checklist.

Interviews conducted with:

Institution PREA Compliance Manager

Health Services Administrator

Sexual assault advocate from the Hope House of South Central Wisconsin

In order to determine compliance, the following policies were reviewed: Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 50-51, and Program Statement 6031.04 Patient Care, pages 9-10. Additionally, the auditor reviewed the One Source First Responder Reference Guide, Sexual Assault Crisis Intervention checklist.

In order to determine compliance, the auditor interviewed the Institution PREA Compliance Manager, a sexual assault advocate from the Hope House of South Central Wisconsin, and a Medical Staff member.

In order to determine compliance, the following observations were made during the on-site tour of the facility: The auditor observed the information provided to the inmates concerning the Hope House of South Central Wisconsin.

The following describes how the evidence above was used to conclude compliance.

The procedures for access to emergency and mental health services are well documented. Medical staff members are responsible for examining, documenting, and treating inmate injuries arising from sexually abusive behaviors, including testing when appropriate for pregnancy and sexually transmissible infections (STIs), including HIV. When an inmate self-reports or is referred to Health Services, medical staff notify Psychology Services and Correctional Services before conducting an injury assessment. The injury assessment, and the inmate's subjective/objective findings, are documented fully in the electronic health record. Health Services staff perform the injury assessment without compromising forensic evidence.

Qualified sexual assault examiners perform the forensic examination (e.g., Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner, Forensic Nurse Examiner, or Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner). Where indicated, Health Services staff with appropriate qualifications may conduct a forensic examination at the institution. At

institutions where Health Services staff are neither trained nor certified in forensic examinations, the inmate is examined at the institution by a qualified health care professional from the community or at a local community facility (e.g., local hospital or rape crisis center) equipped to conduct such examinations. The forensic examination should occur as soon as practicable, but within 72 hours of staff becoming aware that an inmate reported involvement in a sexually abusive assault. An inmate's refusal of a forensic examination is documented in the electronic health record.

When community care is completed, institution providers render follow-up care, including screening for infectious disease (HIV, viral hepatitis, or other sexually transmissible infections), pregnancy testing for female victims, and administration of prophylactic medication (if exposure to bloodborne pathogens is suspected) if these services were not already rendered during the community visit.

Health Services clinicians also perform a physical injury assessment on any alleged inmate perpetrators without compromising forensic evidence. Providers document the assessment in the electronic health record. Forensic examinations of inmate perpetrators will be in consultation with relevant law enforcement agencies and consistent with applicable laws and policies.

Information and access to care are offered to all inmate victims, as clinically indicated. Bureau of Prisons policies concerning inmate co-pays for medical treatment is not applied to victims of sexual abuse.

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 50-51, and Program Statement 6031.04 Patient Care, pages 9-10, address 115.82 (a) (b) (c) and (d).

Based on interviews with the Institution PREA Compliance Manager, the sexual assault advocate from the Hope House of South Central Wisconsin, and a Medical Staff member and a review of the relevant Program Statements, there is compliance with 115.82.

Standard 115.83: Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers				
All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report				
115.83 (a)				
■ Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all inmates who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility? ⊠ Yes □ No				
115.83 (b)				
■ Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody? ⊠ Yes □ No				
115.83 (c)				

 Does the facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care? \boxtimes Yes \square No

	tests? (as trans such in	nate victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy N/A if "all-male" facility. Note: in "all-male" facilities, there may be inmates who identify segender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether dividuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific stances.) \square Yes \square No \boxtimes NA
115.83	(e)	
	receive related inmates sure to	ancy results from the conduct described in paragraph § 115.83(d), do such victims timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-medical services? (N/A if "all-male" facility. Note: in "all-male" facilities, there may be so who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may a specific circumstances.) \square Yes \square No \boxtimes NA
115.83	(f)	
	Are inm	nate victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted ns as medically appropriate? $oxtimes$ Yes \oxtimes No
115.83	(g)	
		atment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether im names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident? \Box No
115.83	(h)	
	inmate- when d	icility is a prison, does it attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known con-inmate abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment eemed appropriate by mental health practitioners? (NA if the facility is a jail.) \Box No \Box NA
Audito	r Overa	III Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
		Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
Instruc	tions fo	or Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's

115.83 (d)

conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Interviews conducted with:
Institution PREA Compliance Manger
Mental Health Specialist
Health Services Administrator

In order to determine compliance, the following policy was reviewed: Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 51 and 52. In addition, the auditor interviewed the Institution PREA Compliance Manager, a Mental Health Staff member, and a Medical Staff member.

The following observations were made during the on-site tour of the facility to determine compliance: The auditor observed the information provided to the inmates concerning the Hope House of South Central Wisconsin and the phone number to contact the Hope House of South Central Wisconsin.

The following describes how the evidence above was used to draw a conclusion regarding compliance. The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford provides ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims through facility and community health providers. Appropriate follow-up services, treatment plans, and continuing care are available. Appropriate STD tests as medically indicated would be provided. There would be no cost to the inmate for this care. Information and access to care are offered to all inmate victims, as clinically indicated. Bureau of Prisons policies concerning inmate copays for medical treatment is not applied to victims of sexual abuse.

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 51 and 52address 115.83(a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) and (g), and (h).

The auditor reviewed the relevant Program Statement and interviewed the Institution PREA Compliance Manager, a Medical Staff member, and a Mental Health Staff member. Based on the information provided in the Program Statement and the interviews, the auditor finds sufficient services are available for victims of sexual assault.

The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford is substantially compliant with standard 115.83

DATA COLLECTION AND REVIEW

Standard 115.86: Sexual abuse incident reviews

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.86 (a)

■ Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded? ☑ Yes ☐ No

115.86	6 (b)	
•	Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the inves \boxtimes Yes $\;\square$ No	stigation?
115.86	6 (c)	
•	Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners? \boxtimes Yes	
115.86	6 (d)	
•	Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicate change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse	
•	Does the review team: Consider whether the incident or allegation was motival ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex ident perceived status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility? \boxtimes Ye	ification, status, or
•	Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident alleg assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square N	•
•	Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during shifts? $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	ng different
•	Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deplorangmented to supplement supervision by staff? \boxtimes Yes \square No	yed or
•	Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necess determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.86(d)(1) - (d)(5), and any recommend improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance \boxtimes Yes \square No	dations for
115.86	6 (e)	
•	Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or docume not doing so? \boxtimes Yes \square No	ent its reasons for
Audito	or Overall Compliance Determination	
	☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)	
	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways standard for the relevant review period)	s with the
	□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)	

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Institution PREA Compliance Manager Information Tracking Log Incident Executive Staff Review (IESR) Form.

In order to determine compliance, the following policy was reviewed: Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 52 and 53. In addition, the auditor reviewed the Institution PREA Compliance Manager Information Tracking Log and the Incident Executive Staff Review (IESR) Form.

The following describes how the evidence above was used to draw a conclusion regarding compliance.

The Warden will ensure that a post-investigation review (IESR) of a sexual abuse incident is conducted at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded. In addition to the Warden, the incident review team shall include upper-level facility management and the Institution PREA Compliance Manager, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners. Such a review shall ordinarily occur within thirty (30) days of the conclusion of the investigation. The review team shall: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse; Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; LGBTI and Gender Non-Conforming identification, status, or perceived status; or gang affiliation; or was motivated or otherwise caused by other group dynamics at the facility; Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse; Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts, and Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff.

All findings and recommendations for improvement will be documented on the IESR. The IESR form will be forwarded to the Institution PREA Compliance Manager and the PREA Coordinator. The facility shall implement the recommendations for improvement or shall document reasons for not doing so.

The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford reports that in the past 12 months, the number of criminal and administrative investigations of alleged sexual abuse completed at the facility that was followed by a sexual abuse incident review within 30 days, excluding only "unfounded" incidents, is four. In the past 12 months, the facility further reports that the number of criminal and administrative investigations of alleged sexual abuse completed at the facility followed by a sexual abuse incident review within 30 days, excluding only "unfounded" incidents, is one.

The Institution PREA Compliance Manager reports that the facility changed Executive Staff (Associate Wardens and Warden) in January 2022. While preparing for this audit, the Institution PREA Compliance Manager initiated an action plan to correct the deficiency in this standard. Specifically, conducting Incident Reviews within 30 days of completing an investigation.

The Auditor notes that three investigations were initiated before the arrival of the Executive Staff in January 2022. Two of those investigations were closed before the arrival of the Executive Staff in January 2022. Two investigations in question required a review before January 2022. The third investigation, which began in November 2021, was reported to the facility by another federal correctional institution. The SIS Lieutenant immediately opened an investigation. This investigation was closed in March 2022. Upon reviewing the documentation, the Auditor recommended that this particular case be unfounded. There was a significant lack of evidence to indicate any abusive behavior occurred. The SIS Lieutenant had to rely on two other investigators at other facilities to gather written statements, and there was no physical or circumstantial evidence to support the allegation. This particular investigation would not have required a review by the Incident Review Team.

The one investigation, supervised by the current administration, was initiated on February 14, 2022, and closed on February 18, 2022. Incident Review was conducted on March 3, 2022. The review team included the Warden, Associate Warden(Operations), Associate Warden (Programs), the SIS Lieutenant, Chief Psychologist and the Captain.

Based on the Institution PREA Compliance Manager's action plan to correct this deficiency and the current fully documented review of the only investigation initiated and completed since January 2022, the Auditor does not recommend an Action Plan for this deficiency. The current administration was not responsible for the previous investigations and incident reviews. The Auditor believes this deficiency has been corrected based on the current documentation.

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 52 and 53. The Institution PREA Compliance Manager Information Tracking Log and the Incident Executive Staff Review Form, address 115.86(a-e).

The auditor finds that the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford is compliant with 115.86.

Standard 115.87: Data collection		
All Yes	s/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report	
115.87	(a)	
•	Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions? \boxtimes Yes \square No	
115.87	(b)	
•	Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No	

115.87 (c)

■ Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice?

✓ Yes

✓ No

115.87 (d)

•		e agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based interest. Investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews? □ No	
115.87	(e)		
•	Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its inmates? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its inmates.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA		
115.87	(f)		
•	 Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.) ☑ Yes □ No □ NA 		
Audito	r Overal	I Compliance Determination	
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)	
		Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)	
		Ooes Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)	

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Program Statement 1351.05, Release of Information PREA definitions

Federal Bureau of Prisons Annual PREA Report

In order to determine compliance, the following policies were reviewed, Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 54-55 and Program Statement 1351.05, Release of Information, pages 1 and 4-6. In addition, the auditor reviewed the PREA definitions and the Federal Bureau of Prisons Annual PREA Report.

The following describes how the evidence above was used to conclude compliance. The Annual PREA report is compiled following the United States Department of Justice (DOJ) Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) National Standards. The report reviews the incident-based and aggregated data for the calendar year and compares aggregated data for previous calendar years. The reports provide

corrective actions developed to reduce further sexual abuse and sexual harassment within the Federal Bureau of Prisons facilities.

The Federal Bureau of Prisons utilizes an Incident Report Database to record and track all PREA incidents from the initial report made at the facility level through the investigative and review process. Data is gathered consistent with the definitions found in the United States Department of Justice PREA Standards. Annually, the National PREA Coordinator reviews the data compiled by the Regional PREA Coordinators, the Information, Policy, and Public Affairs Division, and the Office of Internal Affairs and issues a report to the Director. The aggregated data includes all categories of data necessary to respond to the Survey of Sexual Violence as directed by the Department of Justice. Data collected for this purpose is securely stored and retained. All case records associated with claims of sexual abuse, including incident reports, investigative reports, inmate information, case disposition, medical and counseling evaluation findings, and recommendations, are to be retained for at least ten years after the date of the initial collection. The National PREA Coordinator makes all aggregated sexual abuse data available to the public annually through the Federal Bureau of Prisons website. Before publicly making aggregated sexual abuse data available, the Federal Bureau of Prisons removes all personal identifiers.

The annual review is posted online and was reviewed by the Auditor.

The annual report from 2020 is available on the website. https://www.bop.gov/inmates/custody and care/docs/fbop annual prea report 2020.pdf

Based on the Auditor's review of the uniform data collected and reported, there is substantial compliance with this standard.

Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 54-55, and Program Statement 1351.05, Release of Information, pages 1 and 4-6, addresses 115.87(a-f).

Given the above, the auditor finds the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford substantially compliant with 115.87.

Standard 115.88: Data review for corrective action

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.88 (a)

•	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess
	and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies,
	practices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas? ⊠ Yes □ No

-	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess
	and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies,
	practices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis?

 Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies,

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

In order to determine compliance, the following documentation was reviewed: the data collection instrument and the Federal Bureau of Prisons Annual PREA Report.

The following describes how the evidence above was used to draw a conclusion regarding compliance. The Bureau of Prisons National PREA Coordinator, with the assistance of the Regional PREA Coordinators, and Institution PREA Compliance Managers, reviews the data and identifies problem areas, identifies the corrective actions taken at the facility level, and prepares a final report. The report provides an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse. The Agency Head reviews the report, and it is available online.

The Auditor did review the Annual Report for 2020. The reports capture the Bureau of Prisons' strategies in terms of establishing a healthy staff and inmate sexual safety culture, inclusive of zero tolerance for sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

The National PREA Coordinator indicated that incident-based sexual abuse data statistics are evaluated to identify and assess any patterns. Adjustments to staff training, inmate education, the staffing plan, policies, and programming/ operations routines are considered for implementation based on the assessments. Additionally, the National PREA Coordinator indicated the Bureau complies with FOIA and all other applicable laws, rules, and regulations. No information that identifies victims or perpetrators is included in the report, nor is any information that could potentially threaten the security of an institution. If information needs to be redacted, the nature of the redacted material would be indicated

As an agency, the Bureau of Prisons has initiated several corrective actions in the previous year. The Bureau of Prisons internal audits, combined with the DOJ audits by certified PREA auditors, provided valuable information to identify areas that required corrective action. These audits, when layered with Sexual Abuse Incident Reviews conducted at the facility level, resulted in improvements being made to the Bureau of Prisons PREA Program. The Bureau of Prisons' adherence to a strict zero-tolerance policy for sexually abusive behavior, as well as the continued emphasis of this policy with staff and inmates, has resulted in an overall culture that is less accepting of abusive or even questionable behavior. Inmates are more likely to report possible sexually abusive behavior when it occurs, as is reflected by an increase in allegations. Behavior or comments that may have resulted in discomfort to the victim but ultimately did not meet the definitions for PREA may have been reported in part due to the belief that institution staff would not tolerate it and would properly investigate and address it. A significant number of inmate witnesses came forward to corroborate allegations and provide eyewitness statements to investigators. More inmates than in previous years admitted to engaging in inappropriate behavior. This may be due to the perpetrators recognizing that inmate witnesses are more likely to cooperate. It also may be attributed to investigators conducting thorough investigations that substantiate some aspects of the case resulting in the perpetrator having to change his story over time, ultimately leading to the acknowledgment of the behavior.

The National PREA Coordinator reports that demographics are maintained regarding allegations and investigations. The documentation is securely maintained at the facilities level, the Regional Office level, and the Central Office. Data is maintained in locked files or on computer databases that are user ID and password protected.

The auditor finds that the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford is compliant with 115.88.

Standard 115.89: Data storage, publication, and destruction

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.89 (a)		
 Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.87 are securely retained? ☑ Yes □ No 		
115.89 (b)		

■ Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?

⊠ Yes □ No

•		he agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data y available? $oxtimes$ Yes \oxtimes No	
115.89	(d)		
•	 Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.87 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise? ⊠ Yes □ No 		
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination			
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)	
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)	
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)	

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

In order to determine compliance, the following policies were reviewed, Program Statement 5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, pages 56-57 and Program Statement 1240.05, Records and Information Management Programs.

The following describes how the evidence above was used to draw a conclusion regarding compliance. Required data is collected, maintained, and is available online. The Bureau complies with the Federal Privacy Act, Freedom of Information Act, and all applicable laws, rules, and regulations. The data collected includes incident reports, investigation reports, electronic evidence, law enforcement referrals, criminal investigation reports, administrative investigation reports, PREA review committee reports, and retaliation monitoring reports. Personal identifiers are removed before any publication of data. The data collected is securely retained in locked files or on computer databases that are user ID and password protected.

All case records associated with claims of sexual abuse are retained in accordance with the Federal Privacy Act and Freedom of Information Act and the Bureau of Prisons' Records and Information Disposal Schedule (BUREAU OF PRISONS-RIDS), as noted in Program Statement 1240.05, Records and Information Management Programs.

The auditor did not observe any personal identifiers in the statistics reflected on the website.

The auditor finds that the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford is compliant with 115.89.

115.89 (c)

AUDITING AND CORRECTIVE ACTION

Standard 115.401: Frequency and scope of audits

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report			
15.401 (a)			
■ During the prior three-year audit period, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (<i>Note: The response here is purely informational. A "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.</i>) ⊠ Yes □ No			
l15.401 (b)			
■ Is this the first year of the current audit cycle? (<i>Note: a "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.</i>) □ Yes ⊠ No			
If this is the second year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited during the first year of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the second year of the current audit cycle.) □ Yes □ No ⋈ NA			
■ If this is the third year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least two-thirds of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, were audited during the first two years of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the <i>third</i> year of the current audit cycle.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA			
115.401 (h)			
■ Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility? ☑ Yes □ No			
115.401 (i)			
• Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
115.401 (m)			
 Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with inmates, residents, and detainees? ☑ Yes □ No 			
115.401 (n)			
 Were inmates permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the 			

same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel? \boxtimes Yes \square No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination		
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
		Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
Instru	ctions 1	or Overall Compliance Determination Narrative
The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.		
The Federal Correctional Institution Oxford participated in a PREA Audit in July 2019 and this audit in March 2022. During the three-year period starting on August 20, 2013, and during each three year period thereafter, the Bureau of Prisons has ensured that each facility operated by the agency or by a private organization on behalf of the agency has been audited at least once. During the one-year period starting on August 20, 2019, the Bureau of Prisons has contracted with PREA Auditors of America, LLC to conduct audits at one-third of the facilities operated by the agency.		
The auditor reviewed the relevant agency-wide policies, the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford Institution Supplements, procedures, reports, internal and external audits, and accreditations. The auditor was provided a sampling of relevant documents for the most recent one-year period. The auditor was permitted access to and observed all the Federal Correctional Institution Oxford areas. The Auditor was permitted to request and receive copies of all relevant documents. The auditor interviewed staff, supervisors, and administrators. The auditor was permitted to conduct private interviews with inmates. Inmates were allowed to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel. The auditor was able to interview community-based victim advocates.		
Stan	dard 1	115.403: Audit contents and findings
All Ye	s/No Qı	uestions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report
115.40)3 (f)	
•		ency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly ole, all Final Audit Reports. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past

three years PRECEDING THIS AUDIT. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or in the case of single facility agencies

Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards) Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The Bureau of Prisons publishes PREA Audit Reports for all facilities within the organization on the agency website. During the pre-on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor reviewed the facility's prior PREA Audit Report.

AUDITOR CERTIFICATION

•	
\boxtimes	The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.
\boxtimes	No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review, and

I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any inmate or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.

Auditor Instructions:

I certify that:

Type your full name in the text box below for Auditor Signature. This will function as your official electronic signature. Auditors must deliver their final report to the PREA Resource Center as a searchable PDF format to ensure accessibility to people with disabilities. Save this report document into a PDF format prior to submission.¹ Auditors are not permitted to submit audit reports that have been scanned.² See the PREA Auditor Handbook for a full discussion of audit report formatting requirements.

Robert Palmquist	<u>May 19, 2022</u>
·	
Auditor Signature	Date

PREA Audit Report – V7.

¹ See additional instructions here: https://support.office.com/en-us/article/Save-or-convert-to-PDF-d85416c5-7d77-4fd6-a216-6f4bf7c7c110.

² See *PREA Auditor Handbook*, Version 1.0, August 2017; Pages 68-69.