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## Federal Bureau of Prisons (BOP) <br> Hiring and Staffing FY 2022 First Quarter Report

## Legislative Summary

The Joint Explanatory Statement accompanying the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2022 (P.L. 117-103), states that the Federal Bureau of Prisons (BOP) should continue to follow the directive in the Joint Explanatory Statement accompanying Public Law 116-260 on "Hiring, Staffing, and Inmate to Officer Ratios". The Joint Explanatory Statement accompanying Public Law 116-260 says: "BOP shall continue to submit quarterly reports on inmate-to-correctional officer ratio as required by the explanatory statement accompanying Public Law 116-93, and to publish these reports on the BOP website. To the extent BOP does not currently record staffing by shift, it is directed to begin doing so and include such metrics in this report by the end of fiscal year 2021. Separately, BOP shall submit a report to the Committees regarding any incident involving the use of deadly force at an institution with a staffing ratio greater than 15:1, explaining any role staffing levels may have played in the incident, and describing a detailed plan to prevent recurrence of such incidents."

The following report is provided to address the request for inmate-to-correctional officer ratios and staffing by shift for the First Quarter of FY 2022.

## Report

During the first quarter of FY 2022, there were three institutions with an inmate-to-correctional officer ratio greater than 15:1. The institutions were FCI Edgefield, FCI Fort Dix, and USP Lompoc. Additional detail is provided in this report.

The BOP has made the hiring of additional Correctional Officers a system-wide priority, and at two of the three institutions (Fort Dix and Lompoc) staffing increased during the quarter. However, the inmate population at all three institutions increased during the quarter. The BOP continues to strive to maintain the desired levels between inmate populations and staffing at each institution.

This report also includes data on staffing by shift. Many of the by-shift staffing ratios are higher than the institution-level ratios, due to how the different ratios are calculated and the critical need to staff more Correctional Officers during peak operational times.

The overall inmate-to-correctional officer ratio is calculated using the number of on-board Correctional Officers and the number of inmates at the institution. For example, for Fort Dix, there were 212 Correctional Officers on-board and 3,350 inmates as of December 31, 2021, producing the $15.8: 1$ ratio.

A search of all Report of Incidents revealed there was no use of deadly force from October 1, 2021, to December 31, 2021.

The BOP will publish this data on its website: https://www.bop.gov/.

| Inmate to Correctional Officers Ratio (as of December 31, 2021) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REGION | SECURITY LEVEL | INSTITUTION | INMATE TO CORRECTIONAL OFFICERS RATIO |
| MID-ATLANTIC |  |  |  |
|  | MINIMUM |  |  |
|  |  | ALDERSON | 15.0 |
|  |  | MORGANTOWN | 8.3 |
|  | LOW |  |  |
|  |  | ASHLAND | 11.0 |
|  | MEDIUM |  |  |
|  |  | BECKLEY | 11.6 |
|  |  | CUMBERLAND | 10.8 |
|  |  | GILMER | 12.0 |
|  |  | MANCHESTER | 7.9 |
|  |  | MCDOWELL | 11.1 |
|  |  | MEMPHIS | 10.9 |
|  | HIGH |  |  |
|  |  | BIG SANDY | 5.8 |
|  |  | LEE COUNTY | 5.5 |
|  |  | MCCREARY | 6.4 |
|  | COMPLEX |  |  |
|  |  | BUTNER COMPLEX | 6.8 |
|  |  | HAZELTON COMPLEX | 8.1 |
|  |  | PETERSBURG COMPLEX | 10.5 |
|  | MEDICAL |  |  |
|  |  | LEXINGTON | 7.3 |
| NORTH CENTRAL |  |  |  |
|  | MINIMUM |  |  |
|  |  | DULUTH | 10.3 |
|  |  | YANKTON | 9.9 |
|  | LOW |  |  |
|  |  | ENGLEWOOD | 9.9 |
|  |  | MILAN | 13.1 |
|  |  | SANDSTONE | 11.3 |
|  |  | WASECA | 10.7 |
|  | MEDIUM |  |  |
|  |  | GREENVILLE | 11.3 |
|  |  | LEAVENWORTH | 10.2 |
|  |  | MARION | 11.2 |
|  |  | OXFORD | 9.2 |
|  |  | PEKIN | 10.9 |
|  | HIGH |  |  |
|  |  | THOMSON | 3.5 |
|  | COMPLEX |  |  |
|  |  | FLORENCE COMPLEX | 5.5 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TERRE HAUTE } \\ & \text { COMPLEX } \end{aligned}$ | 7.0 |
|  | MEDICAL |  |  |
|  |  | ROCHESTER | 5.2 |
|  |  | SPRINGFIELD | 4.6 |
|  | DETENTION |  |  |
|  |  | CHICAGO | 6.4 |


| Inmate to Correctional Officers Ratio (as of December 31, 2021) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REGION | SECURITY LEVEL | INSTITUTION | INMATE TO CORRECTIONAL OFFICERS RATIO |
| NORTHEAST | LOW |  |  |
|  |  | DANBURY | 10.3 |
|  |  | ELKTON | 11.0 |
|  |  | FORT DIX | 15.8 |
|  |  | LORETTO | 8.1 |
|  | MEDIUM |  |  |
|  |  | BERLIN | 8.6 |
|  |  | FAIRTON | 7.5 |
|  |  | MCKEAN | 9.5 |
|  |  | OTISVILLE | 5.2 |
|  |  | RAY BROOK | 8.2 |
|  |  | SCHUYLKILL | 9.3 |
|  | HIGH |  |  |
|  |  | CANAAN | 5.4 |
|  |  | LEWISBURG | 4.2 |
|  | COMPLEX |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ALLENWOOD } \\ & \text { COMPLEX } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 6.4 |
|  | MEDICAL |  |  |
|  |  | DEVENS | 5.1 |
|  | DETENTION |  |  |
|  |  | BROOKLYN | 7.7 |
|  |  | NEW YORK | 0.0 |
|  |  | PHILADELPHIA | 6.7 |
| SOUTH CENTRAL |  |  |  |
|  | MINIMUM |  |  |
|  |  | BRYAN | 13.9 |
|  | LOW |  |  |
|  |  | BASTROP | 10.3 |
|  |  | BIG SPRING | 10.1 |
|  |  | FORT WORTH | 10.2 |
|  |  | LA TUNA | 8.2 |
|  |  | SEAGOVILLE | 13.6 |
|  |  | TEXARKANA | 11.0 |
|  | MEDIUM |  |  |
|  |  | EL RENO | 8.5 |
|  |  | THREE RIVERS | 11.8 |
|  | COMPLEX |  |  |
|  |  | BEAUMONT COMPLEX | 12.6 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FORREST CITY } \\ & \text { COMPLEX } \end{aligned}$ | 12.6 |
|  |  | OAKDALE COMPLEX | 9.3 |
|  |  | POLLOCK COMPLEX | 9.3 |
|  | MEDICAL |  |  |
|  |  | CARSWELL | 9.2 |
|  | DETENTION |  |  |
|  |  | HOUSTON | 7.1 |
|  | ADMIN |  |  |
|  |  | OKLAHOMA CITY | 7.7 |


| Inmate to Correctional Officers Ratio (as of December 31, 2021) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REGION | SECURITY LEVEL | INSTITUTION | INMATE TO CORRECTIONAL OFFICERS RATIO |
| SOUTHEAST |  |  |  |
|  | MINIMUM |  |  |
|  |  | MONTGOMERY | 9.1 |
|  |  | PENSACOLA | 9.1 |
|  | LOW |  |  |
|  |  | ALICEVILLE | 12.0 |
|  |  | MIAMI FCI | 10.0 |
|  |  | TALLAHASSEE | 7.8 |
|  | MEDIUM |  |  |
|  |  | ATLANTA | 4.3 |
|  |  | BENNETTSVILLE | 12.3 |
|  |  | EDGEFIELD | 15.3 |
|  |  | ESTILL | 0.6 |
|  |  | JESUP | 8.9 |
|  |  | MARIANNA | 7.9 |
|  |  | TALLADEGA | 8.4 |
|  |  | WILLIAMSBURG | 12.6 |
|  | COMPLEX |  |  |
|  |  | COLEMAN COMPLEX | 8.8 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YAZOO CITY } \\ & \text { COMPLEX } \end{aligned}$ | 12.9 |
|  | DETENTION |  |  |
|  |  | GUAYNABO | 8.8 |
|  |  | MIAMI FDC | 10.7 |
| WESTERN |  |  |  |
|  | LOW |  |  |
|  |  | DUBLIN | 8.7 |
|  |  | SAFFORD | 10.0 |
|  |  | TERMINAL ISLAND | 6.8 |
|  | MEDIUM |  |  |
|  |  | HERLONG | 12.9 |
|  |  | MENDOTA | 10.4 |
|  |  | PHOENIX | 10.3 |
|  |  | SHERIDAN | 12.2 |
|  | HIGH |  |  |
|  |  | ATWATER | 5.1 |
|  | COMPLEX |  |  |
|  |  | LOMPOC COMPLEX | 15.4 |
|  |  | TUCSON COMPLEX | 5.1 |
|  |  | VICTORVILLE COMPLEX | 8.4 |
|  | DETENTION |  |  |
|  |  | HONOLULU | 3.6 |
|  |  | LOS ANGELES | 5.3 |
|  |  | SAN DIEGO | 7.6 |
|  |  | SEATAC | 7.8 |

**As of December 31, 2021, there were 80 Camp Inmates at FCI Estill. Only Camp inmates have returned to FCI Estill. All other inmates were relocated to other BOP facilities due to damages sustained during a tornado in April 2020.

## Facilities with Inmate-to-Correctional Officer Ratio Over 15:1

Information on the institutions with a staffing ratio greater than 15:1 during the first quarter of FY 2022 is below. The BOP is actively recruiting and hiring throughout the Bureau. The BOP has offered a $5 \%$ retention incentive to staff eligible to retire in an effort to retain staff. Additionally, the BOP offers recruitment and relocation incentives and has contracted with Accenture to market and brand the BOP in an effort to attract more candidates.

- FCI Edgefield - Medium - Inmate population increased by 65 during quarter 1 of FY 2022, and Correctional Officer staffing fell by 7. This resulted in an increase in the inmate to Correctional Officer ratio of 1.4, from 13.9:1 to 15.3:1.
- FCI Fort Dix- Low - Inmate population increased by 340 during quarter 1 of FY 2022, and Correctional Officer staffing rose by 3 . This resulted in an increase in the inmate to Correctional Officer ratio of 1.4, from 14.4:1 to 15.8:1.
- USP Lompoc - Complex - Inmate population increased by 698 during quarter 1 of FY 2022, and Correctional Officer staffing rose by 8 . This resulted in an increase in the inmate to Correctional Officer ratio of 3.3, from 12.1:1 to 15.4:1.


## Inmate-to-Correctional Officer Ratio by Shift

The system-wide inmate-to-Correctional Officer ratio of 9:1 has a very different meaning in an operational context. Given daily shifts, the number of staff present in an institution varies based on the time of day and the day of the week.

The BOP has six total shifts, including three Weekday and three Weekend shifts. Morning Watch is from 12 a.m. to 8 a.m., Day Watch is from 8 a.m. to 4 p.m., and Evening Watch is from 4 p.m. to 12 a.m.

The following chart lists the Correctional Officer to Inmate ratio by each watch (or shift). Each number indicates an $\mathrm{X}: 1$ inmate-to-Correctional Officer ratio for that shift. This information is based on the Correctional Officer Roster as of the end of the first quarter of FY 2022.
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| FACILITY | WEEK DAYS |  |  | WEEKENDS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MORNING WATCH | $\begin{gathered} \text { DAY } \\ \text { WATCH } \end{gathered}$ | EVENING WATCH | MORNING WATCH | $\begin{gathered} \text { DAY } \\ \text { WATCH } \end{gathered}$ | EVENING WATCH |
| Bastrop FCI | 12 | 30 | 19 | 12 | 22 | 19 |
| Beaumont USP | 32 | 52 | 43 | 32 | 38 | 41 |
| Beaumont Med | 14 | 41 | 25 | 14 | 30 | 17 |
| Beaumont Low | 16 | 31 | 26 | 16 | 30 | 20 |
| Big Spring FCI | 15 | 34 | 19 | 14 | 26 | 19 |
| Bryan FPC | 11 | 20 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 11 |
| Carswell FMC | 20 | 47 | 29 | 20 | 34 | 29 |
| El Reno FCI | 16 | 35 | 26 | 16 | 25 | 25 |
| Forrest City Med | 22 | 61 | 33 | 20 | 28 | 27 |
| Forrest City Low | 23 | 55 | 33 | 20 | 28 | 27 |
| Fort Worth FMC | 16 | 38 | 18 | 16 | 27 | 18 |
| Houston FDC | 15 | 40 | 24 | 15 | 21 | 19 |
| La Tuna FCI | 13 | 36 | 19 | 13 | 20 | 18 |
| Oakdale FCC | 33 | 72 | 89 | 25 | 54 | 44 |
| Oklahoma City FTC | 26 | 47 | 29 | 22 | 27 | 26 |
| Pollock USP | 33 | 72 | 47 | 33 | 52 | 35 |
| Pollock FCI | 14 | 45 | 26 | 14 | 33 | 26 |
| Seagoville FCI | 19 | 39 | 24 | 20 | 44 | 25 |
| Texarkana FCI | 14 | 32 | 18 | 14 | 26 | 19 |
| Three Rivers FCI | 14 | 26 | 23 | 14 | 28 | 22 |
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| FACILITY | WEEK DAYS |  |  | WEEKENDS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MORNING WATCH | $\begin{gathered} \text { DAY } \\ \text { WATCH } \end{gathered}$ | EVENING WATCH | MORNING WATCH | $\begin{gathered} \text { DAY } \\ \text { WATCH } \end{gathered}$ | EVENING WATCH |
| Atwater USP | 35 | 63 | 38 | 25 | 45 | 32 |
| Dublin FCI | 14 | 34 | 19 | 14 | 22 | 19 |
| Herlong FCI (12-hour) | 16 | 43 | 13 | 16 | 32 | 12 |
| Honolulu FDC | 15 | 32 | 20 | 15 | 20 | 20 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lompoc USP (Med) } \\ & \text { (12-hour) } \end{aligned}$ | 01 | 47 | 24 | 01 | 30 | 25 |
| Lompoc Low (12-hour) | 01 | 17 | 12 | 01 | 15 | 12 |
| Los Angeles MDC | 16 | 37 | 22 | 16 | 24 | 22 |
| Mendota FCI | 16 | 46 | 27 | 16 | 34 | 27 |
| Phoenix FCI | 17 | 44 | 23 | 17 | 30 | 23 |
| Safford FCI | 12 | 28 | 14 | 12 | 18 | 12 |
| San Diego MCC | 16 | 37 | 24 | 16 | 24 | 24 |
| SeaTac FDC | 15 | 37 | 26 | 15 | 27 | 25 |
| Sheridan FCI (8-hour) | 19 | 46 | 27 | 19 | 35 | 26 |
| Sheridan FCI (12hour) Currently using | 05 | 75 | 48 | 05 | 57 | 48 |
| Terminal Island FCI | 20 | 41 | 22 | 20 | 28 | 22 |
| Tucson USP | 34 | 68 | 49 | 34 | 51 | 44 |
| Tucson FCI | 11 | 25 | 23 | 11 | 22 | 17 |
| Victorville USP | 31 | 79 | 53 | 31 | 51 | 42 |
| Victorville I | 14 | 40 | 27 | 15 | 33 | 26 |
| Victorville II | 14 | 43 | 28 | 16 | 32 | 27 |

